

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

CHESHIRE, SS

SUPERIOR COURT

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

v.

#93-S-0218, et al.

GORDON MACRAE

* * * * * * * * * * * * *

TRIAL BY JURY

Volume V

Pages 1 through 140

BEFORE:

The Hon. Arthur D. Brennan

Presiding Justice

Cheshire County Superior Court

Box 444

Keene, New Hampshire 03431

DATE:

Friday, September 16, 1994

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

Bruce E. Reynolds, Asst. Cty. Atty.

Robert Gainor, Asst. Cty. Atty.

(Rockingham)

For the Defendant:

Ron Koch, Esquire

James R. Davis, Esquire

CLERK:

Stillman D. Rogers, Esquire

STENOGRAPHER:

Lorena Werner Patria, CSR

Certificate #41

		,			
1	I N D E X				
2					
3	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
4	Thomas Grover		5-3	5-120	
5	Katherine Hall	5-76	5-82	5-92	
6	VOIR DIRE of T. Grover	5-94/99	5-102		
7				·	
8					
9					
10					
11	<u>EXHIBITS</u>				
12					
13	State's	<u>Description</u>			<u>Page</u>
14					
15					
16					
17					
18	<u>Defendant's</u>	<u>Description</u>			<u>Page</u>
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. You will be 3 having lunch from noon to 1:30 today; and as I always say, if you run into any problem of any kind, that is if you read or heard anything or had any kind of a problem with 5 anyone approaching you, anything that you think could make 6 a difference in your ability to be fair, then please let 7 the Court know and you can do that through the bailiff. 8 You don't have to do that right here. Attorney Koch? 10 MR. KOCH: Thank you, your Honor.

THOMAS GROVER

(Resumed)

CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION: (by Mr. Koch)

Mr. Grover, we will be done with you sometime today I'm fairly certain. Sir, let me go back to where I think we left off yesterday afternoon. We got into the very first incident in this timeframe on the chart behind you where you made an allegation that Mr. MacRae performed an act of fellatio on you and I think we were at the point where you had said that you were talking a little bit about maybe drinking during that particular session. Are you back with me where we left off, sir?

Α Yes.

- Now I probably asked you this yesterday but let me just try to get myself re-oriented. You cannot remember any
- 3 specifics about what was discussed during that particular
- 4 session?
- 5 A No.
- Now Mr. Grover, when you went -- how did you get to the rectory that day?
- 8 A Mr. MacRae. I rode there with Mr. MacRae.
- 9 Q Was that the first time that he had taken you over to the 10 rectory?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q And your memory today, sir, is that that incident happened
- in -- at what location in the rectory?
- 14 A The southeast office -- I mean the southwest office. I
 15 don't know what it's marked.
- 16 Q All right, sir. Would it help you to flip back to the diagram?
- 18 A Southwest office.
- 19 Q Mr. Grover, let me ask you this. Did you change your mind,
 20 sir, about the location of where that particular incident
 21 occurred because you later learned that Gordon MacRae was
 22 not in that southeast office when he first came to the

23 rectory in Keene?

- 1 A No, I did not.
- 2 Q When did you learn that the office, the southeast office,
- 3 was occupied by Father Dan Dupuis?
- 4 A I didn't understand the question.
- 5 Q When did you first become aware that the southeast office
- 6 was occupied by Father Dan Dupuis in 1983 during the
- 7 | summer?
- 8 A Again, I don't know any dates as far as certain days or
- 9 certain months. I really don't know.
- 10 Q To your knowledge, sir, based on your memory, was Gordon
- 11 | MacRae ever in the office which would have been up at the
- 12 left corner of your chart, if you would turn around and
- 13 | look?
- 14 A Yes, sir. I don't know if he ever used that office.
- 15 | Q Sir, do you remember whether or not Father Gabriel Houle
- was gone for a period of time during the summer of 1983?
- 17 | A No, I don't recall.
- 18 Q Now, Mr. Grover, during this session, had you gone to the
- 19 rectory specifically for the purposes of doing some talking
- 20 about your problems?
- 21 | A That I can't say because sometimes we would just ride
- around and end up at the rectory so I wouldn't be fair, I
- don't think, to say that every time that I ended up there

and him counseling me was -- he didn't come to my house and say, "Okay. Let's go to my office and I'll counsel you." Sometimes he would just pick me up. We would ride around, maybe get something to eat, go to a store or two, run errands. We would end up back at the rectory and I always did little things around there and things that he needed to get done and the things that I could do to help him out. He sometimes asked me to run an errand here or do something for him. So not every time was a counseling session.

Q Let me go back to my question. Maybe I didn't phrase it properly.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Attorney Koch. Is the talking outside disturbing anyone?

(No response)

THE COURT: It's not a problem? Go ahead.

- Mr. Grover, I think what I was trying to understand, this first time that you say this incident occurred in the office there at the rectory, do you have an independent memory today as you sit here today about whether you had gone to the rectory that time with the purpose of having a counseling session?
- 23 A No, I don't recall if it was -- I was with him and I was

driving around with him. We ended up there. What led up
to that, we were talking in general in the office area and
then it got to be more personal and so we went into that

4 office and shut the door and was talking.

- Q At this point in time, sir, Gordon MacRae is living in this same building; is that a fair statement?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q He has a room there and that's where he lives?
- 9 A Yeah, I helped him move in when he moved in there.
- 10 Q I believe you testified, sir, that during this session that
 11 had occurred, I think to use your own words, things got to
 12 be more personal in terms of the discussion between you and
 13 Gordon MacRae?
- 14 A Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Do you remember in what sense they became more personal, sir?
 - Well, if we were to have a conversation -- I don't know, if
 we were to have a conversation right here in front of all
 these people and then I started talking to you about
 something about your personal life, then we just kind of
 went into the next room to carry on the conversation but
 not have it be so in -- in such a public way because that
 was one of the main avenues of the house, that entry

office, people just walked in and out and there were people in there at all times of the day so we were just talking in a more personal -- to me, we just kind of went -- walked into the side office and were still carrying on the conversation.

- Q What was it about the conversation, sir, that made it more personal as opposed to what you're describing was occurring out in, what you've labeled as the entry office?
- What personal means to me is anything directly concerning me and I mean anything concerning me, I guess. I would say in general, I would consider a personal conversation. We were sitting there talking about what I did last night or last week. I would consider that a personal conversation, about my personal life. I'm not one to -- I don't allow people to or I don't feel comfortable talking about my personal life in front of anybody and everybody.
- 17 Q Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 18 A So I'm just that type of person, so that's what I would
 19 consider --
- 20 Q Do you remember what it was that was said or discussed that
 21 made the conversation become personal?
- 22 A No, I don't remember what was said.
- 23 Q Now when you went into the office, sir, if I remember your

testimony correctly, you don't really remember how long you 1 2 were in the office? 3 Correct. Α But at some point in time I believe you testified that 4 Father MacRae -- Gordon MacRae began to berate you? 5 6 Α Correct. 7 Belittle you and insult you, put you down? 8 Α Correct. That kind of action, sir, was something that was very --9 10 you hated that, didn't you, from people? 11 Yes, I did. And it had happened to you, sir, on many occasions in your 12 life, hadn't it where people were criticizing or putting 13 you down even back to the time you were --14 15 No, that wouldn't be a fair statement at all. All right. What was he telling you? 16 Once again, I think I said that I don't remember the 17 conversation, statement by statement or word for word just 18 19 general. Can you remember how he was berating or belittling you in 20 general? I guess what I'm trying to understand, Mr. 21

Grover, is it seems to me that if somebody is hammering at

you and putting you down and insulting you, that --

22

- MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, I guess we're having a speech here 1 2 again instead of a question.
 - THE COURT: Sustained.

4

19

20

21

22

- As you sit here today, you cannot tell this jury one thing that Gordon MacRae said to you that was belittling or a put 5 6 down?
- 7 Not from that very -- I can sit here today and say from that very day I can't consciously say that I remember a 8 single statement. I know statements that he made over time 10 but I'm not going to say that I knew exactly what he said 11 on that very day.
- All right, sir. Whatever Gordon MacRae said to you was so 12 13 painfully emotional that you broke down sobbing, crying,?
- 14 Α Correct.
- 15 Is that a fair statement?
- 16 Yes, that is.
- 17 Now, sir, did you tell Detective Jim McLaughlin when he Q 18 first interviewed you that Gordon MacRae was berating you?
 - The first conversation I had with Detective McLaughlin was Α just a basic outline of what information I had and over -he took notes or whatever and then over -- over the other times that we met he would ask me to try to remember specific things surrounding this and I would go into more

1 detail about certain things but in the first couple 2 conversations, it was just general -- an outline of what 3 happened. There wasn't really any detail, I mean really he just wanted me when I went in there just to feel 4 comfortable and let it come out a little bit at a time, a 5 6 little bit at a time. It was really tough to be there and talk to him about it. 7 It wasn't something that I felt 8 really comfortable in doing, so he made it as easy as 9 possible to just let me go where I wanted to go with it and then after that we became more concentrated on specific 10 11 things, things like he would try to pick my memory for as 12 much detail as I could remember about certain statements that I had made; and so it was kind of a build up type of 13 thing, but it was just really, really difficult to get 14 15 through the first time I talked with him. 16

Okay. Mr. Grover, to go back and ask you if you can answer my question, let me repeat it for you. When you talked to Detective McLaughlin on whatever occasion you talked to him, did you tell him that Gordon MacRae berated you and was putting you down before that incident occurred?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I don't recall using berate, the word berate. I recall telling him that he would emotionally break me down before each time that it happened, but I don't recall ever using

- 1 the word berate.
- Q Okay. Did you ever tell Detective McLaughlin that Gordon
 MacRae was insulting you or belittling you or putting you
 down or anything of that nature?
 - A I don't recall because Detective McLaughlin wasn't the only one I had spoken to. I had spoken to Bruce Reynolds at the time and before the -- before we met to go in front of the grand jury, me and Mr. Reynolds had talked and I am sure I had brought that up at that time too.
- 10 Q Okay. Now, sir, you were emotionally upset and crying?
- 11 A Correct.

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 12 Q Is that correct? Would it be fair to say, Mr. Grover, that
 13 the last thing on your mind at that point in time would
 14 have been some type of sexual interlude?
 - A I don't know what -- yeah, from my mind, because I don't know -- I can't tell what anybody else is thinking but from myself, he was just there and he -- no, I didn't know what was coming down the road.
 - Q And, sir, would it be fair to say that -- how did you feel about Gordon MacRae at that moment when he was belittling and insulting and berating you?
- 22 A I think I explained that when that began I was so
 23 emotionally overcome that I really just -- my mind was

going haywire because the reason why these counseling
sessions were going on was because I was such a mess and to
have that happen on top of it, it just -- I don't know.

- Q Were they trying to get you into a treatment center at that point in time, sir, for your alcohol abuse?
- No, it wasn't -- it was just sporadic drinking. Like at that age I would go out with my brothers or with older people and drink and so it wasn't like in later years an every day occurrence or an every day -- or a more -- slowly and slowly the times between when I was drinking became closer and closer. Like it started out maybe I drank -- I would have a drink once every two months and then a drink a month and then it became a drink every other week and then more drinking and more drinking and more drinking; so when this was in the beginning of the stages of that, so it wasn't a thing --
- Mr. Grover, to answer my question, let me ask it again. I asked you simply was there an effort to try to get you into a treatment center at this point in time. I'm talking about here in 1983 when these incidents are alleged to have taken place.
- A And I answered no, and then I went on to explain.
- Q All right. Thank you. Now, Mr. Grover, I think you

- explained that Gordon MacRae came over to you and he, as
 you were sitting down, unzipped your pants, and then
 fellated you. Is that a fair statement, is that what
- 4 happened?
- 5 A Yes, it is what happened.
- And, Mr. Grover, did you -- I believe you testified, sir, that you were able to obtain an erection?
- 8 A I don't think I said that.
- 9 Q Sir, did you tell Detective McLaughlin and let me read to
 10 you. "For about 15 minutes, Tom stated he achieved an
 11 erection during this act." Do you remember, sir, whether
 12 or not you told Detective McLaughlin that you had achieved
 13 an erection?
 - A There were so many incidents that I can't recall what was said at any one point when I talked to Detective McLaughlin. That was almost a year ago. A year ago we had those conversations.
- 18 Q Sir, wasn't it your impression that Detective McLaughlin
 19 was trying to find out what had happened from you?
- 20 A Yes.

15

16

17

21 Q And that in so doing, he would ask a series of questions to
22 try to get the complete picture, the complete story about
23 what had happened?

1 That's correct, but when I spoke to Detective McLaughlin, 2 none of this was put in any kind of sequence. It was just 3 as I remembered things -- incidents, and it wasn't until after that -- where we tried to sit down and put them in 4 any kind of a timeline say so when I spoke to him in the 5 first few conversations, it was just things that I could 6 remember, everything -- everything was very -- I had a 7 8 hard time talking about it and the more I talked about it, the more came up and it wasn't really -- it was just -- I 9 10 couldn't -- I just had to talk and let it come out in bits 11 and pieces. I just couldn't sit down and couldn't sit down 12 when I talked to him and say okay, this happened in this 13 day or month or this happened, this -- it was just the 14 incidents that were the freshest in my mind. 15

- Now Mr. Grover, it sort of is the same process that we have been doing here in court, wouldn't you agree, with Mr.

 Reynolds and myself by asking questions to try to fill in some details, the process that Detective McLaughlin used to try to fill them in as we are doing here?
- A That's correct.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q And, sir, you wouldn't have any reason to think that

Detective McLaughlin wouldn't be very professional in terms

of his approach in investigating and trying to get the

complete version of events from your perspective?

- A I think you're going to have to ask me the question again.
- Did you feel that Detective McLaughlin handled his approach with you in a very professional manner?
- Yes, he did and that he just let me I think in the first couple times I just was really ashamed and guilty of everything and he just let me go about doing saying -- he just let me keep talking and talking and then he did the follow up. So I think he did the handling the way I needed to be. I think he did a professional investigation.
- Now, Mr. Grover, Detective McLaughlin writes, based upon his interview with you about that first incident, "Father MacRae responded by approaching him. Tom was seated at the time. Father MacRae unbuttoned his pants, took Tom's penis out, performed fellatio on Tom for about 15 minutes. Tom stated he achieved an erection during this act but did not ejaculate." Now, as you sit here today, sir, are those statements and representations made by Detective McLaughlin correct?
- A Like I said, no. Then like I said they were just -- when I first talked about it we -- it happened so many times that way, I just talked about it and talked about it. I don't know what he wrote down. I didn't read anything that he

1 had written down. I didn't look at anything that he had written down. He had just written it down and just would ask me general -- when I left his office during the conversations I was emotional and just don't recall what all I did was told him what had happened in my own I never -- he never said anything about what he wrote down or anything so I can't say.

- Mr. Grover, do you ever remember Detective McLaughlin asking you to write down some specifics about the four incidents that you described that occurred in the offices at St. Bernard's rectory?
- I don't really recall that.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

- 13 I'm referring to this -- would have been December 7 of 14 "I spoke with Thomas Grover and asked that he write 1993. 15 down some specifics about the four incidents of counseling 16 which resulted in MacRae performing fellatio on him." Does 17 that ring a bell at all to you as you sit here today, sir? 18 No, it doesn't. Α
 - Did you ever write down any questions or any response for Detective McLaughlin?
 - Not that I recall and to also state that there were a lot there is -- I don't know -- I don't know. There has been a lot of conversation, a lot of emotional time in

between now and when that was. I can't remember everything 1 that was said or surrounding every conversation. 2 just all jarred really I think and --3 4 Sir, let's go back to the rectory for a minute. Q testimony was that Gordon MacRae performed fellatio on you 5 and then during this incident there was no conversation. 6 When I am talking about the incidents, I am going from the 7 8 moment of time when Gordon MacRae walked over to you, unzipped your pants, performed fellatio on you until the 9 end of that event. Any conversation between you and Gordon 10 11 MacRae, sir? 12 Which incident are you talking about? There was the 13 incident in Marlborough that was in the rectory. I 14 couldn't understand what you were talking about. 15 Excuse me. I don't want to confuse you. I've been 16 talking, sir, about the incident of fellatio, the first one that you said occurred in the southwest office at St. 17 18 Bernard's rectory in the summer of 1983? 19 And I repeatedly have said that yes, it was conversation; Α 20 but no, I don't remember specific statements that were made but there was conversation and there was him treating me 21 22 the way he did and broke the way -- broke me down. 23 Was there conversation, sir, at the time that Mr. MacRae 0

began this sexual activity? In other words, when he was unzipping your pants, when he was supposedly removing your penis? I mean. Was Gordon MacRae saying anything to you or were you saying anything to him at that point in time, sir?

- 6 A No, I don't believe there was --
- Q All right. Now it would be fair -- did you make any kind of explanations -- excuse me. Exclamations. Did you make any kind of a statement at that point in time?
 - A I said -- I stated that I was crying and he was -- it was -- he made me -- I was crying and I was just crying and crying and hurting inside. [witness sobbing].
- Q Sir, my question was did you make any exclamation? Did you say anything?
- 15 | A No.

10

11

- 16 Q I didn't didn't ask you if you were crying or what you were
 17 doing. I asked you if you said anything?
- I said in my statement, no, he didn't say anything. 18 Α just was in front of me and got down on his knees and I was 19 20 I felt like I was froze up. I was scared. crying. 21 hurting inside. I didn't say anything. I froze. I didn't 22 I didn't do anything. I just sat there. I was just 23 crying.

- Do you have any idea, sir, how you were able to become erect during that process of events?
 - A No. I said that I didn't -- wasn't aware except in the other office at the time that it was, uhm, kind of a pleasurable feeling but what I was outside of that, I don't recall -- I can't say how I got erect or I don't know why that would happen. I don't understand -- I was just hurting. I was just crying. I don't know anything, anything for an answer like that.
 - Yes, sir, but let me go back for a minute. Mr. Grover, isn't a sexual act, no matter at what level it's at, in some sense a combination of mind and body? In other words, if you don't want to obtain an erection --
 - MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, may we approach please?
- 15 THE COURT: Yes.

BENCH CONFERENCE

MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, my objection is basically this is asked and answered. Mr. Grover has testified to the direct question that he doesn't know how he was able to become erect. He doesn't understand that process. It's a mystery to him, as I am sure it is to most 15 year old males, feeling testosterone in their body for a few years. Now, Mr. Koch is asking him more specifics about how that could

possibly happen and I guess unless he is prepared to qualify Tom Grover as an expert in biology, I think he has got to take the answer he's already gotten because it has in fact been asked and answered. This is just to the point of badgering the witness now and re-asking the same question time and time again. I've been letting this go for sometime. Mr. Koch is very big on — in spite of the fact he has got the information, he continues to ask and re-ask the questions that elicit the same information and I just think this has gone too far. We have had a very specific answer from Mr. Grover here and he says he is ignorant of how this sort of thing happens.

MR. KOCH: Your Honor, he walks into this courtroom on four occasions. He basically says, Here's what happened. Mr. MacRae starts talking to me about counseling. He then begins to belittle me to the point that I am completely broken down. I am so hysterical and emotionally upset I am just not even there. He then comes over, unzips my pants. He fellates me and at this point in time I have this out of body experience. So I am not really understanding. There are some sort of pleasurable feelings going on. Judge, I don't think that's in the realm of common human understanding. I have a right to ask him about details,

whether he wants to talk about them or not. This young man has come on and in incredible detail relates every event that's ever happened to him in his life even without being I mean down to the detail where he is sitting in asked. the car, how he is doing, or how an arm was moved on every other incident except for the ones sitting right here. I'm trying to find out what was going on at that moment in time with Tom Grover when he is claiming these acts of fellatio occurred. I mean was there conversation? exactly did Mr. MacRae do? It is unbelievable to me that a person in that kind of circumstances could get an erection, maintain an erection; and as he later says, ejaculate. Plus he says depending on when you listen to him, he said it did happen that way or didn't happen that way. THE COURT: I think the difficulty here is this is the first

COURT: I think the difficulty here is this is the first
State's objection along this line. I agree with the
State. The question was asked and answered. What you have
described to me is different than the way the question was
presented. The question is a physiological question that
none of us here are qualified to answer and he isn't
either, so for that reason the State's objection is
sustained.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 | Q Mr. Grover, as you think back today, were you able -- did
- you have an erection? I'm talking about incident number
- one in the St. Bernard's rectory in the summer of 1983?
- 4 A No, I don't recall.
- 5 Q Now you left the office at some point in time after this
- 6 incident?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Thinking back, sir, if I go to the time when let's assume
- 9 that Mr. MacRae has completed the act that you have
- described as being fellatio. Did you all talk at that
- point in time?
- 12 A Again, I don't understand what you're asking me.
- 13 Q Well, maybe I should say it this way. Was there anything
- 14 said?
- 15 A When? I don't understand what you're asking.
- 16 | Q Okay. What I'm trying to ask, Mr. Grover, is that you
- described that Gordon MacRae unzipped your pants, performed
- fellatio on you, and then I'm assuming at some point in
- time that act that you described stopped. Is that
- 20 assumption correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- Q Okay. At that moment when the act had been completed or
- 23 stopped, was anything said by you?

A I think I stated that when I became conscious or aware of my surroundings, he was in front of me and I don't recall events or what had happened after that had happened. I just remember him standing up and I don't remember what took place or what we did afterwards. I was always just out of control -- I was just --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- I think you described to this jury, sir, that when this event took place, you almost kind of described it like you were having an out-of-body experience, I think. Were those some words that you used, sir?
- Correct, in describing the -- one of the other incidents, not specifically this one. I said also that I felt like I had blacked out or just don't remember anything at that time. From the time he got down in front of me to the time he stood up, I said -- at some point in between there, I must have blacked out and I don't remember how long I -- I don't remember, but on other occasions I felt like I was looking on but not -- it was happening -- but it was happening but it didn't feel like me. I was just all upset and I just was just -- I was just -- had no control over myself. I was just numb all over and just like -- felt like it wasn't me. I was just sitting there. I just I was -- I couldn't move. I was just couldn't move.

1 sitting there.

- Q Mr. Grover, would it be fair to say that when that act began to occur, that you just kind of lost all sense of anything? In other words, you just almost weren't even there?
 - A No, I remember when he -- before when he took my penis out of my pants and put it in his mouth I remember that but I remember it happening and then I would just be not there or just settle down enough and he would be standing in front of me and that would be it. I would still be crying -- at times would come around me and just --
 - All right. Mr. Grover, let me ask you if you remember telling Detective McLaughlin this. That on that first session when Gordon MacRae performed this act of fellatio, that the door was closed and that you could hear others on the floor and that may be why Mr. MacRae stopped the sex. Do you remember telling Detective McLaughlin that about this first incident, sir?
 - A No, I said that at one point at one of those times he jumped up because he was startled because of something -- some noise perhaps but he just jumped up and just got -- stepped away from me and that's what I had said.
 - Q Sir, I seem to remember your testimony on direct

examination or maybe it was cross where there was quite a 1 discussion about the way wooden boards or floor boards may 2 creak, and in fact what kind of sound one could hear about 3 4 between doors. Do you remember some discussion about that? 5 Α Yes, by the front office?

- Yes, sir. And in fact I -- in fact I think I asked you a question about audibility or noise level and you immediately looked over to this door that says "No Admittance" and made some kind of comment, if I remember correctly, about what you could hear or couldn't hear.
- Isn't that -- am I remembering that correctly, sir? 11
- 12 Yes, you are.

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- You told Detective McLaughlin on that first occasion that you could hear people outside the door? In other words, movement and people in that entry office, isn't that true, sir.
- I said that there were people there at the time. That's why when it became personal conversation, we went into that side office and he shut the door and there were other people in that office at the time. That's the door we moved over there and we went inside and shut the door.
- So if I understand your testimony correctly, sir, at the Q time this -- and I call it the first incident in the

- 1 rectory -- there were people in that entry office?
- 2 A Yes, there were.
 - Q Who was there?

- A I don't recall who was there at the exact time. There were people always coming in and out and it was the most populated place in the rectory that was like the center point of the rectory, that entry office so -- and it was just people coming in and out; whoever might have been there, the priests were there and we just went into there for more privacy to talk, more personal conversation.
- Q Mr. Grover, the office that you described as being the southwest office was an office that many people used during that time?
- A No, I said Fred LaFond did at times and Mr. MacRae used that more or less as his personal office but that was just an office and he used it when Freddy was -- Fred LaFond wasn't always there. He worked at the St. Joe's Cemetary and did other things for the church and it was the most available office in the rectory at the time and I don't think there was -- it was assigned to anybody. I don't know if any of the offices were really assigned or how they came about who was where. I don't know about that type of inside information.

1 Q As you think back today, do you remember how many people
2 were outside even though you don't remember who they were?

- Like I said, they -- I don't think anybody was sitting there or standing right there. People were just coming in and out of or walking in through and out on -- I don't know if anybody was standing there. I think it was just we were getting interrupted and he wanted to talk more personal so he went and I followed him into that office there.
- Now, Mr. Grover, I think you also described kind of a situation when we were talking about the floor boards. You went into some kind of discussion about how the boards may run from one room under another and how somebody may be right up against the door and that may be kind of why you hear creaking. Do you remember some discussion about that, sir?
- Yes, about one of the incidents in the southeast office.

 They had wooden floors in there and the -- this office here had I believe tile -- were just tiles on the floors and the same as the entry office had tiles on the floor and I think it might have ended over here somewhere and then to over the wooden floors in the hallways and by the stairs.
- Q Mr. Grover, Fred LaFond runs the cemetary operations for

- 1 the rectory here, does he not?
- 2 A Yes, among other things.
- Q And sir, in fact, he is responsible in part for plant maintenance?
- A I don't know what his specific roles are. I just know that he does serve a lot of different functions at the rectory for the church.
 - Q If there were some item that needed to be fixed or replaced or something, do you know whether or not that would fall within his scope of duties?
 - A Yes, but -- yes, it would probably; but it didn't always work that way just like a lot of different things don't -- I mean just because my duty might be something, doesn't mean that I wouldn't -- somebody else wouldn't do that for me from time to time. I did little things to earn money, like always go down to the rectory and do things -- jobs, and I work for the rectory from time to time.
 - Q Yes, sir. I believe you told the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that on one of these occasions that Gordon MacRae locked the door. Do you remember that testimony correctly?
- 21 A Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 22 Q And was that in the southeast office?
 - 23 A Yes -- no, it was -- yeah, it was in the southeast office.

Q And on the other three occasions that occurred in the rectory in the summer of 1983, those being when the acts of fellatio were alleged to have taken place, did he lock the door on any of those three occasions, please?

- A I couldn't recall. Detective McLaughlin asked me the same questions and I said I don't recall if that was happening and he went to close the door. He was standing in front of it so I wouldn't be able to see except for that one time when I was sitting by the bookshelves and I was on an angle where he really didn't try to block my view and I don't know if he was trying to but when he went to close the door, the door was in front of him. I said I didn't know if they locked them on other occasions or not. That only one time that I could see that happening.
- Q Mr. Grover, isn't it true that those locks are not operable and were not operable at that point in time in history?
- A I don't know. In 1983 I wasn't really looking to see if
 the door -- I just know that he went over and like he was
 locking the door.
- Mr. Grover, I assume, going back to incident number one in the rectory again, as you describe in the southwest office, at some point in time after this black out or however you would describe it, I would assume, sir, that you came to.

In other words, you got back to the point where you had 1 2 sort of presence of mind. Would that be a fair statement? 3 I became aware enough to know what was going on 4 around me, that where I was, and I came back to that state 5 after crying and crying for what was a long time. after that happened, I was crying and slowly, gradually 6 7 just became aware of the things around me. Yes, sir. Now when you were in this state of I want to 8 9 call it just hysterics, you mean you were sobbing and 10 crying? Gordon MacRae had no control over how you were 11 sobbing or how you were crying? In other words, the manner 12 in which you did that. Do you understand what I'm asking? He didn't have control. He had control over making me cry 13 14 but not that I -- that the amount of emotion I was feeling. He brought it on by doing the -- what he did to 15 build me up to that point and he would just continually 16 17 throw in comments to make me more and more and more 18 emotional and that was what he did. Yes, sir. Now so if I understand your testimony correctly, 19 Q 20 it wasn't just enough to belittle and berate you to where

he got you crying; but once you started crying, he kept

He seemed to get some kind of satisfaction or -- or

heaping it on and making you more and more upset?

21

22

23

Α

Yes.

1 -- or control -- like he was able to control me by doing
2 this over and over. He just looked like -- I don't know,
3 like he kept doing it and doing it and I would be crying
4 and then he would say something else and/or make a comment
5 and he would just keep doing it. He wouldn't stop and he

- 6 -- I could feel myself getting more and more upset and he
- 7 would just keep doing it.
 - Now, Mr. Grover, that happened each time for these four instances that you have described that occurred in the summer of 1983 and the southeast and southwest offices of the rectory?
- 12 A Correct.

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 13 Q And this sobbing and crying, sir, that you were describing
 14 occurred, did it not, while there were people in this entry
 15 office?
 - A Correct. Well, I said people were passing through the entry office. I don't recall if anybody was continuously in where nobody was continuously present while we were talking. It was more people were just walking in and out or going to the kitchen or upstairs or downstairs or that was the main way into the building from the church and for the other priests to get to the garage so people were just walking in and out. I wasn't crying at the time until

- after we had gone into the office.
- 2 Q Yes, sir. But would you agree with me, sir, that neither
 3 you nor Mr. MacRae had any control of any sort as to who
 4 would be coming in and out of the rectory or who would be
 5 present in the rectory at any given point in time?
- 6 A That's correct.

- Now, did Gordon MacRae, on that day that we've been just going through, then take you back to your home in Marlborough?
 - A I said I don't remember, I don't recall what exactly happened. I -- I at some point rushed to my house but I don't recall when I had gone from the time I was stopped crying to when we -- I don't know if early -- I don't recall anything or where we went or what we did, just at some point I returned to my home in Marlborough or in Keene.
 - Would that be, sir, the next thing that you remember? Let me go back to my timeline kind of. After Mr. MacRae had finished performing the act of fellatio and you had blacked out, is it your testimony that the next thing you remember was being at your house in Marlborough?
 - A No, I said that I regained consciousness or mental awareness and I would see him standing in front of me and

- became -- and knew where I was and I just don't remember

 what went on after that.
- Q Okay. Until the point that it -- at some point I'm
 assuming you remember being at your home in Marlborough?
- 5 A No, I eventually ended up there. I just ended up there.
- Mr. Grover, do you have any memory of whether or not that
 was the same day that you ended up back at your home in
 Marlborough?
- 9 A Yeah, chances were it would be the same day but I just
 10 don't recall. I don't know if it was the same day. It
 11 could have been a week later. I just don't know. I just
 12 ended up back at my house.
- Q Sir, that was absolutely one of the most horrible days of your entire life, wasn't it?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Now, if I remember from your testimony yesterday afternoon,
 17 another similar incident would have occurred sometime
 18 within that same month?
- 19 A Yes, that's what I said yesterday.
- 20 Q And the manner in which that second incident occurred was
 21 almost, in many respects, was almost identical to the first
 22 one?
- 23 A The only two things that are -- that I remember about

these incidents that were really the same was the fact that

he made me break down and he did what he did to me. I

don't know if I would say they were all the same.

- Okay. I guess when I say the same, Mr. Grover, what I'm getting at is that you went to the rectory and you began some conversation, you're in an office at some point in time, Gordon MacRae began to berate you. You breakdown and start sobbing. And then he comes over, unzips your pants, performs fellatio, you black out or leave your body or whatever is happening emotionally. Isn't that sort of the common thread that ran through each of those four instances that you described occurring in the rectory in Keene in the summer of 1983?
- I guess if that's how you look at it -- I don't look at it. All I know is he broke me down and did what he did. I don't know -- I -- I wouldn't say any more than that.
- Q Mr. Grover, with respect to the second time you went back, do you remember how that came about?
- A No, I don't remember how really any time came about. I
 wasn't expecting it to happen. I was just there. I didn't
 know. I didn't know -- I wasn't paying attention. And I
 was just there and I wasn't expecting it to happen the way

it did.

1.2

- Yes, sir. But Mr. Grover, I think you remember going back to the first incident that you said occurred, I mean we had the situation that was supposed to have happened at the rectory in the hallway where Gordon MacRae pinned you against the wall, and another time when you were coming back from an airport and he had unzipped your pants and was fondling your penis and I think a third time where he had his hand on your genitals and made some joke about it. Do you remember, without going through all those details again, those are three instances that you told the jury about. Would you agree with that?
- 13 A Yes.
 - Q Sir, on each of those instances you wanted to do whatever you could to basically stay away from Gordon MacRae, even at that young age. Isn't that true?
 - Yes. The difference between the times that those three times happened and the other times at the rectory were that he hadn't made me feel the way I did or made me -- or break me down the way he did and I was aware of what was happening and I pulled away when I was -- whereas in the rectory I was crying. I was upset. I was confused. I just wasn't -- I just couldn't move. I just sat there and

I was crying and I was crying and I don't know how -- I
was just there in the chair sitting there and I didn't -I -- I didn't think about -- I don't know. I didn't think
about anything. I was just crying.

- Mr. Grover, when you left after that -- I take it the number one incident in the rectory at Keene, and once you realized you were back at your house in Marlborough, you certainly knew, sir, what had happened to you, didn't you?
- I don't recall how I reacted or how I felt -- how I felt
 was I was just all confused. I couldn't talk to anybody
 -- I just couldn't talk to anybody at the time. I really
 was just confused about everything. I didn't have any
 specific feeling about what happened. I just was -- I was
 just messed up. I was in there for reasons of -- I was in
 there for reasons to get help because my life was falling
 apart and that this happened, I really just don't know how
 I felt about the whole thing. I just -- I don't know how
 I live with it now. It's just I do and I have different
 feelings at different times about what took place.
- Mr. Grover, let me back up. Maybe you misunderstood my question. I didn't ask you how you felt or what was going on, I asked you if you knew, if you had knowledge that that event had occurred when you went back to your home in

Marlborough?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α

- A Yes, I said I don't recall that.
- Q When you went to the Keene rectory the number two time, did you remember that the number one event that had occurred, did you know that it had happened?
 - Again, I wasn't consciously thinking about it. I was just I didn't know that what was going to happen on that day. I don't know what I was thinking. I was just there I wasn't -- I don't know what I was and it happened. thinking on that day when I went there. I was just there and it happened and it happened like that. All the other times, too; I just didn't see it coming. I didn't even know any better. I just needed help with putting my life back together and my mother and him said that that was the way it would be helpful and I believed that and that they knew what was best for me at the time and just followed with the decisions that they made concerning the treatment centers, all those things -- the counseling -- all those things were decisions made for me. I just went along because I thought they knew what was best for me. see it coming when I was going to the office. I wasn't thinking anything about it. I just was there.
 - Q All right. Mr. Grover, what I'm trying to --

- 1 MR. KOCH: Your Honor, I need to use the board for a second.
- 2 THE COURT: Yes.

- What I want to show you, sir, is you've described an incident that occurred in the southwest office in the rectory at Keene and then I think you told the jury that sort of the next thing you really were aware of somehow you got home but you have no idea when that was. Now when you went to the rectory in Keene in the summer of 1983 and the second incident occurred, did you remember -- did you know that number one had happened?
- I just said I have no -- I wasn't thinking about the first incident. I don't know if I was or not. It was a long time ago. I just was there in the office and all I remember was what happened. I don't know what led up to me being there. I don't know what happened after. All I remember was he made me feel a certain way and then he did what he did and that's all I remember.
- Q Mr. Grover, when incident number three happened, had you remembered, sir, that number one and two had occurred?
- A Again, I was -- I don't remember what led up to me being there. I was just there and it happened. I don't remember anything leading up to the point of me being there and me being counseled. I don't know -- I'm not aware of that.

- Then would the same be true with respect to incident number four, that being the -- that you didn't remember or didn't know about three, two and one?
- 3 know about three, two and one?
- 4 A That's correct.
- Now, sir, I think there was a number five incident that I called it and that was where there was a situation that you say occurred in the third floor of the rectory, is that correct?
- 9 | A Correct.
- 10 Q And I think you told the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
 11 yesterday that you couldn't really place it in order. You
 12 couldn't say it was number five or anything like that but
 13 the only thing you could say for sure is that it occurred
 14 after number one. Did I understand your testimony
 15 correctly?
- 16 A Correct.

22

- Now, sir, wherever that incident occurred -- and I will

 call it number five -- you state that you were on the third

 floor of the rectory sleeping on a couch, is that correct?

 Is that where you were sleeping, sir?
 - A That's what was in the room where I was, uhm -- there was his room and a connecting room which had a couch, his TV, a book shelf and I was overnight and I said I slept on the

1 couch.

- You were spending the night and sleeping at the rectory.
 Would that be a fair statement?
- 4 A Yes.

- Now when you were spending the night and sleeping at the rectory, sir, regardless of where it would fall in my timeline, did you remember that these other incidents of being fellated in the office had occurred? Whether it be one, or two, or three, or four?
- Once again, I don't know what I was thinking at the time.

 It just happened. I don't know that. It was a long time ago. I just don't know what I was thinking. I was just I was hurt and confused and things in my life also and I just needed help and he was taking responsibility to try to help me and he told my mother to believe that he would be responsible for me and I don't remember what I was thinking on those days before or after it happened. I just over the years have tried to erase all the things that happened and I just tried to forget about them as best I could.
- Q All right. On that occasion, sir, I think your testimony was that you were laying there on the couch in your -- how were you dressed? Do you remember?

A Yeah, I usually just wear a t-shirt or underwear depending
on the time of year or I just usually sleep in my underwear
if it's hot out. If it's cold I usually wear just a
t-shirt and my underwear but I don't -- that's usually
been the way I have always slept.

- Q Okay. In the summer months -- and in this situation we're talking about summer of '83 -- if it was warm outside you would be wearing a t-shirt and shorts or underwear or just underwear?
- A No, not always. I mean in the summer time if it's real hot
 I sometime wear a t-shirt too because of the sweat and I
 just use the sheet or something so I can't say that it
 doesn't change from time to time.
- Yes, sir. Would you have memory of that on that incident that you described? In other words, if I take you to the third floor of the rectory when this other situation that I've called number five occurred, you were sleeping on the couch. Do you have memory of what you were wearing that night?
- I said I was probably wearing a t-shirt with my underwear.

 I was sleeping at someone else's house. I might have not
 felt comfortable and when I sleep at other people's houses
 I usually where more than I would than if I was sleeping at

```
my house in my bed.
 1
 2
          All right, sir.
 3
     THE COURT:
                   Attorney Koch, it's 10:30. I think it might be a
 4
          good time to take a break now.
 5
     MR. KOCH:
                   All right, your Honor.
 6
                   So we'll take ten minutes now. Bailiff, take
     THE COURT:
 7
          charge of the jury.
 8
                                    (Recess)
     CONTINUING CROSS EXAMINATION: (By Mr. Koch)
 9
10
          Tom, I'm almost done. I'm sure everybody will be relieved
11
          to hear that. You testified yesterday or maybe it was the
          day before that at some point in time Gordon MacRae had
12
          threatened you because you had told Debbie Collett about
13
14
          the abuse, is that correct?
15
          Yes, that's correct.
          And that happened, sir, after you had been released from
16
17
          the Tirrell House?
18
     Α
          That's correct.
19
          When you were in Manchester?
     Q
20
     A
          That's correct.
          If you could, sir, I have a couple documents I want to show
21
          you. Mr. Grover, let me -- what I have in records that
22
```

I've received from Derby Lodge and from Tirrell House

- appears to be that you were admitted to Derby Lodge on
- 2 August 3 of '86?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And then released on September 13 of 1986. Is that what
- 5 those appear to indicate, sir?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And sir, from August of '86 until September of '86,
- 8 August 3 of '86 to September 13 of '86 would be about a
- 9 | five week period, a little over a month?
- 10 A From the time I was discharged to the time --
- 11 Q From the time you were first admitted.
- 12 A Into Derby Lodge?
- 13 | Q Let me just do this. If I have Derby Lodge from August 3
- of '86 through September 13 was it?
- 15 MR. REYNOLDS: Yes.
- 16 Q September 13 of '86, what I was asking is by my way of
- calculations, sir, that appears to be about, oh, a five
- 18 | week period of time?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q A month and ten days or something like that. Now I
- 21 understand and it would have been during this admission,
- 22 sir, that you had come in contact with Ms. Collett?
- 23 A Correct.

- 1 Q And it was during that admission, sir, I believe when you
- 2 said that you had told her that Gordon MacRae had abused
- 3 you?
- 4 A Correct.
- Now, after you left Derby Lodge on September 13 of '86, you
- 6 then went to your mother's house, waiting for admission to
- 7 | Tirrell. Would that be fair to say?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Now, sir, the records at Tirrell House, if you would look
- 10 at those for a minute, for your first admission indicate
- that you were admitted on October 16 of '86, is that
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And then the discharge date, sir, when you were out of
- 15 | Tirrell House?
- 16 A January 15, 1987.
- 17 Q Okay. Now this one would have been about 30 days and then
- if I calculate this correctly, that would be about three
- 19 | months?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q October to January of '87. For my clarification, the time
- when you say Gordon MacRae threatened you to not tell
- anybody and that you wouldn't be believed, those kind of

- 1 comments occurred after your release from Tirrell House?
- 2 A Correct.
- Now that would have been approximately five months after the first date of your admission to Derby?
- 5 A Correct.
- In other words, if I jump from August of '86 to January of '87, I've got about a five-month period of time in there; would you agree with me?
- 9 A Correct.

15

16

17

- Now during that time period I think you testified, sir,
 that after you were released from Derby Lodge, it was your
 father who had taken you, wasn't it, to the bus station or
 - A No, when I left Derby Lodge, I left and took Concord
 Trailways from Berlin into Concord, New Hampshire and it
 was my father who -- for some reason my mother couldn't
 make it, so my father came and picked me up in Concord at
 that time.
- 19 Q All right. Then I think you testified that during that
 20 month or so that you were home between September 13 of '86
 21 and October 17 of '86, you did have some contact again with
 22 Gordon MacRae?
- 23 A That's correct.

Okay. Now the period of contact, sir, to the best of your knowledge that Gordon MacRae had with Debbie Collett, was while you were at Derby Lodge?

I don't know. I wouldn't be able to say. All I know is

1.5

- A I don't know. I wouldn't be able to say. All I know is that one of the conditions that I was -- that he had made that in order to help me get into Derby Lodge was that I signed a release of information form so he could keep tabs on me and so I can't say when he talked to Deborah Collett but Deborah Collett was the only one that I had disclosed anything to -- anything to and later on it came back that someone had told him.
- Q Sir, you didn't actually sign a release of information form, you signed a form where Gordon MacRae would be your representative after you left Derby Lodge, isn't that true?
- A No, that -- the form that I signed gave him access to information or updates.
- Sir, let me show you the records that were received pursuant to subpoena of Derby Lodge and also provided to me by the State of New Hampshire through Mr. Reynolds and see if you would identify the form that you're talking about

[Documents handed to the witness]

Q Mr. Grover, would it be fair to say there is no such record in the records of Derby Lodge?

I don't see the forms that I signed myself being present at this time, the in-take forms. I don't see any of those present in this pile of papers. I signed papers so admitting myself there. Those were taken the very first day and none of those papers appear to be in this pile.

- Q All right, sir. Now, are you or are you not aware that those records were produced pursuant to subpoena and court order?
- Well, yeah I'm aware of that, but I'm not sure that you're aware that when you go to any type of program you have to sign. They don't just let you walk in. You have to sign in-take papers. They take down information and you have to sign yourself in and those forms that I filled out aren't in this stack of papers because at the time I didn't want contact. The contact I wanted was limited. I didn't want certain people to be able to get in touch with me or have —— I wanted my —— I wanted limited access to me and that was discussed in that conversation when he brought me up there and those forms. None of those forms I see in these papers.
- Q Okay. Who did you sign that you didn't want to have contact with you?
- A Well, I limited -- it was just -- I just signed limited

1 I just said who I wanted to be able to -- you know 2 the people that I wanted to be able to be in touch with me. Who did you want to be able to get in touch with you? 3 0 4 Α I don't recall exactly who. I know I gave I'm not aware. permission that Mr. MacRae could have, seeing though he is 5 6 the one who brought me up there; not my parents, and he was the one that would be able to under agreement that he would 7 be able to have updates or have access to privileged 8 information. And I don't see any of that paperwork present 10 at this time. 11 Yes. sir. MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, just so the Court understands, that 12 13 while the State did provide discovery to defendant's 14 counsel, that Court order and that subpoena was served by 15 him to get records as well from the state institution. 1.6 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 MR. KOCH: Your Honor, so the record can understand on two 18 occasions all the records have been produced, once by the 19 State and subpoena. Those are the records. MR. REYNOLDS: So far as they have been received from Derby 20 21 Lodge.

Apparently there is a difference of opinion about

it or there is a question here as to whether or not we

22

23

THE COURT:

- 1 really have all the questions. I guess that's obvious.
- 2 Q Now Mr. Grover, let me show you what was previously
- 3 introduced as Defendant's A.
- 4 MR. KOCH: Your Honor, I believe -- I am not sure, but I
 5 don't think this exhibit ever made it all the way through
- 6 to a jury review. We started it and then we recessed.
- 7 THE COURT: That's right. I remember that. You may publish
- 8 that to the jury.
- 9 MR. KOCH: Thank you.
- 10 Q Mr. Grover, you recognize that document, don't you, sir?
- 11 A Yes, I do.
- 12 | Q Does that bear your signature?
- 13 A Yes, it does.
- 14 Q And can you describe what that document is to the ladies
- and gentlemen of the jury please?
- 16 A This is a document basically of my discharge agreement when
- 17 I left Derby Lodge.
- 18 Q Now, sir, if I understand correctly, you would have
- 19 disclosed to Deborah Collett that Gordon MacRae had abused
- 20 you?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 | Q Prior to the time you signed that document?
- 23 A That's correct.

- 1 | Q What is the date by the way of that document?
- 2 | A This is 9/12/86.
- 3 Q That would have been the day before you were officially
- 4 discharged from Derby Lodge?
- 5 A Yes. My discharge date was 9/13/86.
- 6 Q Sir, would you read paragraph three?
- 7 MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, if it's going to be published to the
- girry and it's a full exhibit, I don't think there is any
- 9 reason to get it in twice. The jury can read it
- 10 themselves.
- 11 MR. KOCH: It's just one particular sentence in the document,
- 12 | your Honor.
- MR. REYNOLDS: It just doesn't appear to me there is any reason
- to highlight the document. The whole document is in
- evidence and may be perceived by the jury and whatever
- weight is given some or all of it, is up to them to decide.
- 17 | THE COURT: I will allow it. The jury can read the whole
- thing but I will allow the question. You may read it.
- 19 Q Sir, paragraph three please?
- 20 A It says, "I have contacted Father MacRae to be my AA
- 21 sponsor. Their telephone number is 352-3525".
- 22 Q Sir, is that a document that you would have filled out
- yourself or were those blanks filled in by whoever was

taking information from you? That is in my handwriting. I just signed the bottom where 2 Α 3 it says rather than signature. 4 I didn't hear you. I said none of that is my handwriting except for where it 5 6 says in the bottom resident signature. The rest is filled 7 in by someone else. So what would have happened, sir, if I understand it is you 8 Q 9 would have been asked to answer those questions as part of 10 your discharge process from Derby Lodge? 11 Right. 12 And that that person would then fill in the appropriate 13 spaces? 14 Correct. Α 15 Q Thank you. 16 (Exhibit A published to the jury) 17 Mr. Grover, there is one final area I wanted some clarification on. 18 You told the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that you were paying for your counseling sessions? 19 Yes, part -- well, I made an agreement to -- well, 20 Α 21 actually I wasn't in on the agreement so I don't know what 22 the agreement is but my -- I only had to pay whatever the 23 agreement was. I paid \$20 when I went in and however it

- was worked out between the place where I go and my attorney
 that was worked out between them and they told me that I
- 3 had to pay \$20 but excluding -- they send me a bill that
- says you pay \$20 and then I have another amount on top so
- 5 basically right now they are giving me a sliding scale fee
- 6 I would say.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A And, yes, I do pay for that myself.
- 9 Q You pay \$20 a session?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Now when you say your attorney, that was Robert Upton?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q That is your private lawyer?
- 14 A Yes, it is.
- 15 Q And he is an attorney who from time to time has been seated
- in the back of the courtroom?
- 17 A I don't recall.
- 18 Q He was here yesterday and talked with you, didn't he, Mr.
- 19 Grover?
- 20 A I didn't see him in the back. He came to the front and
- 21 said something to me, that he wanted to talk to me outside.
- 22 Q And without going into the subject matter of what you
- talked about, you left the courtroom and you were speaking

with your attorney, is that correct?

- A Yes. He was present in the courtroom. He was right here.

 He walked over here. I saw him, he approached me. All

 through the trial I haven't really been paying attention to

 anybody on the other side of the railing. I really -
 that's not something that I'm really paying attention to.
- Well, sir, throughout this trial, hasn't Pauline Goupil on a couple of days been sitting in a chair right here behind the swinging door?
- 10 A That's possible.

2

3

4

5

6

20

21

22

- 11 Q That's the therapist that Mr. Upton sent you to, isn't that 12 correct?
- 13 A If she was sitting there. Pauline Goupil is the therapist
 14 which I'm going to, yes, sir.
- And Pauline Goupil is the one, sir, who I believe is
 basically taking you through a process of relating back
 what has gone on in your life and helping you identify that
 that is connected in some respects to the actions of Gordon
 MacRae?
 - A First I would like to say that I don't really care to get into what me and Pauline Goupil discuss. That's confidential between me and her and also could you please stay over by the podium. You're walking over to your table

1 more often.

- Q Okay. Excuse me, Mr. Grover. Now things have not been financially easy for you throughout most of your life, have they, Mr. Grover?
 - A No, they haven't.
 - In fact, because of the myriad of problems that you've encountered in your life, it's very difficult for you to maintain any kind of steady employment, isn't that true, sir?
 - A No, I get jobs and getting a job is not the hard thing.

 It's keeping a job, allowing people to get close to me and get to know me. When I'm in a work atmosphere or when I'm working employed at a place and people start to get to know me and get close to me, I don't feel comfortable. I usually for some reason just -- I just don't like because of what has happened and what's been going on, I really don't let people close to me and I usually run away or just don't show up or just become discomplaced and those are the reasons for why a lot of -- why I've lost a lot of jobs.
 - Q All right. And, sir, with all due respect when you were growing up, material things weren't real good for you being in such a large family, were they?
 - A No. I mean I guess when you're growing up you don't really

1 -- I mean I had everything I ever needed or asked for in
2 some ways. Somehow my parents were able to provide that
3 for me. I worked and I earned my own money and got the
4 other things that I wanted that were -- that weren't

5 important things so --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Mr. Grover, the reason Mr. Upton is here is to try to get you substantial sums of money as a result of what you claim Gordon MacRae did to you. Isn't that true?

Α No, that's not true. What is true is Mr. MacRae filed a suit against me and Mr. Upton -- me and Mr. Upton had met and discussed certain things. We had never filed any court documents, surrounding any lawsuit against Mr. MacRae or the church until Mr. MacRae had sued us in New Mexico and then had come back to New Hampshire; but up until June of 1994, I'm not aware of what action was being taken because of this criminal trial. My lawyer, Mr. Upton, kept as much information away from me and I didn't have any real information about it, just that he was working on it and so there wouldn't be a confusion of anything like that when we came to the courtroom. The only thing I'm aware of that he was doing was he was finding somebody -- he searched and searched to find a counselor for me because that was what was important at the time and he ultimately came up with

- Pauline Goupil's name and worked an agreement out with her and she put me on a sliding scale.
 - Q Sir, you didn't want anyone to know that you had filed a suit, isn't that true?
- 5 A There was no suit filed.

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Mr. Grover, didn't you just testify in June of this year that you filed a civil complaint through your attorney against Mr. Grover, I mean against Mr. MacRae?
 - Yes, and I explained that the only reason he did that was because Mr. MacRae had filed suit against me and Mr. Upton and because of something surrounding statutes, Mr. Upton filed the suit in June of '84 [sic] But from the time I met Mr. Upton in '83 until '84 [sic], there was no filing of any suit. There was no action taken. We had just talked about -- we had just talked about different options and different things. Nothing ever was filed I believe.
 - Q Now I want to ask you, do you recognize the man that's seated on the far end of the table that I sit at, not Mr. MacRae, the other gentleman?
- 20 A Yeah, well, yes, I recognize who he is.
- 21 Q He, sir, was a lawyer who questioned you. His name is JR

 22 Davis and he interviewed you a week ago or let's see almost

 23 two weeks ago on a Friday?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q Interviewed you in the company of Mr. Reynolds, the county
- 3 attorney?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q And also in the company of Ira Cook?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q Who's an investigator for me.
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q Is that your understanding?
- 10 A Yes, it is.
- 11 | Q At that point in time you were asked, sir, whether or not
- you had filed any type of cause of action against Mr.
- MacRae and you told them in that interview taken by a court
- reporter that you had not. Isn't that true?
- 15 A That's correct, but being that me and Mr. Upton had not
- 16 been -- he had kept this information from me just for that
- reason, I had to approach him and ask him exactly what was
- filed and up to that point I did not know and I didn't know
- in June of '94 that there was something filed. He has kept
- 20 me in the dark as far as what he has been doing as my
- 21 | lawyer and so when I made that statement, that statement
- 22 was true. I had -- I approached him and asked him because
- of the very reason that you would probably be asking me

- that question.
 That I would p
 - Q That I would probably be asking you that?
- 3 A Yes, when I filed the suit or if I filed a suit.
- Was it your wish that Attorney Upton file a cause of action on your behalf?
- 6 A The discussions we had were the immediate --
- 7 MR. REYNOLDS: I object, your Honor. It appears to be a question 8 of privilege here and without the victim's counsel to 9 advise him, I don't think it's an area of proper inquiry.
- MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, may we approach the bench with a record please?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.

BENCH CONFERENCE

- MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, I fail to see how if a civil lawsuit
 was instituted, why he claims not to have knowledge of and
 his testimony was much -- that's what his testimony was as
 to whether or not it was filed. With or without his
 permission is a matter of privilege. Seems to me it's very
 important as to the issue of bias. Bias is a fundamental
 issue of our cross-examination.
- 21 THE COURT: Bias? Bias isn't even relevant to this argument.
 22 What are you talking about?
- 23 MR. DAVIS: Does he have a suit or not? Was it instituted --

2 THE COURT: Are we talking about motive? 3 MR. DAVIS: Right. Does he have a suit or doesn't he? Was it instituted with his knowledge or not? Was it instituted 4 5 with his privilege or not? Was he honest with the jury just a few minutes ago whenever he said he didn't know 6 7 about it originally? Was he honest whenever he said two 8 weeks ago that he didn't know about it? 9 THE COURT: So far he has answered all those questions. 10 only question he hasn't answered is the last one and the 11 State objected to that and --12 Whether his attorney had authority or not is not a 13 matter covered under the attorney/client privilege. MR. REYNOLDS: It's not authority. That wasn't the question. 14 The question was about his discussions with his attorney. 15 16 Those are privileged materials. I don't know what he will say. You seem to know what he will say. That's 17 18 speculation. 19 THE COURT: I think you can ask the question did he give his 20 attorney permission. 21 MR. DAVIS: That's not a matter covered by the privilege. 22 It's not confidential information. 23 THE COURT: You can ask that question. I will allow it but

1

does he have a suit?

1 you will have to take the answer. MR. KOCH: 2 Your Honor, I'm going to pass the witness. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 OPEN COURT 5 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you're getting a half hour early break on your lunch. I understand you're 6 all having dinner together, is that correct? 7 8 (Jury nodded affirmatively!) 9 THE COURT: Well, that's good. Be back at 1:30. 10 Bailiff, take charge of the jury. You all know 11 what not to do. 12 (Jury Dismissed) 13 HEARING OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY THE COURT: 14 Attorney Gainor? 15 MR. GAINOR: For some clarification on redirect and our case 16 that will follow, the defendant's theory of the case I think most prominently is that Tom Grover's allegations are 17 18 financially motivated as a basis for his suit against not only Gordon MacRae but the Catholic church. He has said 19 20 that a number of occasions that it is the Catholic church 21 that has the deep pockets. There is a basis in fact for Mr. Grover suing the Catholic church. And that is that the 22 Catholic church knew or should have known of the danger 23

23

that Mr. MacRae posed to children, specifically in 1983. Larry Carnivale, from Hampton, made a disclosure that Mr. MacRae fondled Larry Carnivale. And that was reported to the Diocese of Manchester in November of 1983. Now much of the allegations in this case occurred while -indicted allegations occurred from June to November of The church was on notice effectively towards the latter part of the indictment hearing period. In reality, there are sexual molestations committed by Mr. MacRae onto Tom Grover that post date the indictment period that go upwards to 1987. Now it's the State's position that to make the bold allegation that Mr. Grover is making these allegations out of sheer financial greed in order to get essentially to the deep pockets of the Catholic church. believe that out of fairness, the State should be able to show the basis of that liability. In other words, it appears that Mr. Grover is going at the Catholic church based just on this, these allegations in this Court against Mr. MacRae, but in fact it's much more. It is because of what the church knew or should have known pertaining to the incident, Mr. MacRae committed which he is admitted to against Larry Carnivale. And that is the basis of the liability and I think out of fairness in order to give the

23

jury a complete picture of Mr. Grover's suit against Mr. MacRae and the Catholic church to give them a complete picture that the Larry Carnivale abuse should be made mention of and possibly even Mr. Carnivale should be allowed to testify because that is why that is one of the fundamental reasons for Mr. Grover and Mr. Grover's legal counsel making a decision to sue in this case. was no notice on the church's behalf of Mr. MacRae's dangerousness to children, to any children, that he would be in contact with, there would probably be no suit in this case, no civil suit and it's only because of what Mr. MacRae did to Larry Carnivale back in 1983 and as the Court has already done once in order to give a complete picture to the jury and not give the jury a half or quarter or a non-truth, that should come in. In essence, the defendant has opened the door towards letting in the basis of Mr. Grover's suit against the church. The defendant raised it in his opening statement. He said this is a case about It's about Mr. Grover suing Mr. MacRae and the greed. Catholic church and that was continued into and made a component of the cross-examination and I believe the door knocked on in opening was certainly most opened when looked at the theory of the defendant's case pertaining to

financial greed. And again, your Honor, just to show that
there is a basis in fact for this suit that it's not
non-based, that there is a reason the church is being sued
and that there is a reason for the suit.

THE COURT: Attorney Koch?

MR. KOCH: Thank you, your Honor. Initially I asked some questions sort of at the end of Mr. Grover's testimony. He said, "I only sued for one simple reason. That was because Gordon MacRae had sued me." That was essentially the sum and substance of what he said. He told the jury that if I had a choice of sending him to prison or getting a million dollars, I would take him going to prison. Your Honor, to say that somehow the allegations relating to Carnivale -- and if my memory is right from reading that, your Honor, the allegation of fondling was in 1986, not 1983, somehow and in fact that was found to be unfounded by your own department at that point in time, your Honor, by the Department of Youth and -- I'm sorry, I don't remember.

THE COURT: Children and Youth Services.

MR. KOCH: That that somehow opens the door to that particular 404-B evidence, your Honor, I think is inappropriate. That's my position.

MR. GAINOR: Your Honor, if I may, there was some misstatements

1 probably not intentional by Mr. Koch but the incident 2 involving Larry Carnivale, the defendant when in Hampton 3 had Larry Carnivale on his lap and did kiss him. admitted to doing that. In 1983 that was investigated. 5 That was founded. The DCYS report said, "Allegations 6 founded. Perpetrator put into counseling." In 1986, Larry Carnivale was seeing a school therapist at the Winnicunnet 7 8 High School. During that therapy session, Mr. Carnivale 9 brought up the issue of Mr. MacRae not only kissing him on 10 his lap but fondling him; under the statute at that time --11 that doctor's name was Dr. Brown had a duty to disclose 12 that and Dr. Brown did. DCYS got involved, the local 13 office in Portsmouth, and they investigated it as a new 14 allegation not having any knowledge that part of this allegation was investigated in 1983. During the course of 15 the investigation, top officials at DCYS learned that part 16 17 of this allegation was investigated in 1983 and they put an 18 immediate stop to it. And that's where it was found to be 19 unfounded because top officials at DCYS said this is not a 20 new allegation. And there are some clouds over exactly as 21 to how that was handled but what Attorney Koch is referring 22 to is what DCYS perceived to be a reinvestigation of an old 23 investigation that was shut and they determined there was

no new factual allegation so I just wanted to correct to
the Court that it was founded, the kissing on the lap, and
it was investigated.

MR. DAVIS: Your Honor, if I could briefly be heard on this?

5 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DAVIS: Irrespective of what happened, where and how with Lawrence Carnivale, it seems to me what the State is asking is can we further explore by bringing in other alleged bad acts on redirect, or perhaps I'm missing it but that's what they want to know.

THE COURT: Rather than impeach? As a result of the impeachment?

MR. DAVIS: Right, as I understand it. Now we've previously gone through this issue on numerous occasions but the first starting point is is it relevant information and if it's relevant, what's the scope of its relevancy? Is it unduly prejudicial? I don't hear any argument here about how the analysis the Court has previously gone through carefully and repetitively on the 404-B issues have anything whatsoever to do with the State wanting to open the door to try to force the door open so they can bring in another alleged prior bad act. Mr. Koch on his cross-examination — to the best of my memory, never attacked Mr. Grover

from the standpoint of, "How did you choose to bring this suit?" Or "How did you come to talk to the police?" is it had nothing to do -- didn't open any doors about whether Mr. Grover had learned of police involvement because of reports in the press or learned of police involvement because of reports from family members or learned from the press because he had heard about Carnivale. Name was never mentioned. The only issue was, "Who did you see first? The attorney or the police officer?" And I respectfully would submit that based upon discovery information that we received, some of the answers may have been inconsistent with some of the materials that we have received in discovery. That's a point for the jury but there is clearly a motive here for fabrication. Whether that motive will carry today, is a matter for the jury; but whether or not this other prior bad act did or did not occur, has nothing whatsoever to do with Thomas A. Grover's motivation. It may be that his suit might or might not be stronger against the Diocese of Manchester but we're not trying the case against the Diocese of Manchester. We're trying the case of State v. Gordon MacRae regarding alleged incidents involving Thomas A. Grover so what the State is trying to say is because we

1.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

think there is other evidence that makes Thomas Grover's civil suit against the Diocese of Manchester stronger, we should be able to use that to show that because he has got a stronger case he has got less motivation to not tell the truth? Now, that's horse talking and creative, ingenious but it has nothing to do with unfair prejudice. There was no representation. There's been no opening the door and there is no State v. Fecteau issue whatsoever.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. Davis. You mentioned the impeachment about the family member and going to the lawyer and that sort of thing. During that impeachment there was a hesitation in the witness' testimony and it was actually picked up by both newspapers and myself and it concerned the order whether Thomas Grover went to Detective McLaughlin or to his attorney first.

MR. DAVIS: Attorney Cleary.

THE COURT: And it was very confusing and it was obvious to me
that the defendant -- that the witness wanted to say
something else about it to explain why he was confused
about who he went to first, McLaughlin or Cleary. Does
anybody know what his answer would have been had my order
not excluded other bad act evidence? Just from my own
observation here I had the feeling when he looked at me for

help and the newspaper picked it up, Hayward picked it up and Elizabeth Crowley picked it up in the <u>Sentinel</u> and the <u>Union Leader</u>. It had to have an impact on the jury. My only question is was it another bad act because the only — I am prepared to deny the State's motion if it was other than that but that's the only area that I might consider allowing in other bad act evidence. Now, what would he have said?

MR. GAINOR: Your Honor, this was the State's second issue. The only reason Tom Grover got the courage to come forward with these allegations is because his brother, Jon Grover, came forward, spoke with Detective McLaughlin, and only then did Tom have the courage knowing that there was someone else to corroborate, to support him that he came forward.

THE COURT: That's fine, but what he didn't say -- was that what he intended to say?

MR. GAINOR: At that juncture, no, your Honor.

THE COURT: He was not going to mention anything about talking to McLaughlin or anything along that line? That's not what confused him?

MR. REYNOLDS: I have got to tell your Honor that since your order on 404-B, I have spoken with Mr. Grover several times concerning not opening any doors with regard to each of

these issues where it's been raised concerning motivations to come forward and with regard to the nature of his lawsuit and that sort of thing. It appears to me that he was cognizant of these other circumstances and the reasons for the suit that was ultimately filed. The most recent conversation I had with him was about two weeks ago in Manchester shortly after he had met with his lawyer. My understanding of Mr. Grover and my reading of Mr. Grover is that he felt compelled not to be able to answer questions about the lawsuit because of your Honor's 404-B order. He was not to raise those things.

THE COURT: Well this is the only way if he was going to answer and I can't remember exactly what the question was.

Maybe Mr. Koch would remember it or Mr. Davis or maybe the clerk does.

MR. KOCH: There is no representation from the State that that is what he was going to say. I think Mr. Gainor said that.

MR. REYNOLDS: I have to talk with Mr. Grover.

20 THE COURT: Have you talked with Mr. Grover? Is Mr. Grover 21 here now?

22 MR. REYNOLDS: No, he is at lunch, sir.

23 | THE COURT: Then I don't want anybody to talk to him other

than to tell him he is going to be back in here without the We're going to Voir Dire him and see what the answer would have been. If the answer would have been that he heard from Cleary about abuse to his brothers and that was the reason he was confused and unable to answer clearly that question about who he went to first, McLaughlin or Cleary, I will consider allowing in that bad act evidence. Otherwise, the other State's arguments I'm not ready to I will tell you what I'm going to do. Please sit I am very concerned that the trial move along smoothly and that the State and defendant understand my concern about the 404-B issue. I think it's been handled very well by both sides throughout this trial and the only place that I have a problem is the place that actually Attorney Davis raised about the family and who he went to, McLaughlin or Cleary, who he talked with or something like that. Actually I got up about 2:00 this morning and I wrote something and I am not sure I am going to read it now to you because I believe it will give the State an idea of where I am on 404-B so we don't run into a question about open door all the time and on other issues as I see them in this case and as I have explained to the defendant and the defendant's attorney, I want to emphasize that if the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

defendant takes the stand, and I know your counsel is able, but I want to emphasize that your credibility will be coming into issue and there is evidence there that the Court would consider. I'm not issuing any opinion or any order on that but there is evidence of other acts that the Court would consider just by the defendant taking the stand. And then of course if any witness for the defendant or any witness is developed by the defendant, and brings in character evidence, a substantial part of the other bad act evidence will come in. And I want to make that perfectly clear.

MR. KOCH: What your Honor is saying is counsel is able but maybe not that able.

THE COURT: Well I'm saying you're a darn good lawyer and that there is nobody in this courtroom that doubts it but I want to emphasize that and that's all.

MR. KOCH: And then the decision obviously has not been made fully in that regard yet because the case isn't over in terms of the State presentation and I can't represent at this moment if Mr. MacRae will testify. If he does testify and I would like him to testify, I would certainly approach the bench and have some very clear --

THE COURT: We'll do this. If we come to this and we will get

it worked out so that nothing happens that at least I might 1 2 be wrong but at least we'll all know what I have done when 3 we do it. I'm going to read you what I wrote and this 4 concerns 404-B evidence. The defendant is innocent until proven guilty and you are innocent until proven guilty in 5 this Court. You are innocent now and we've only heard a б 7 small part of what this case is going to be and I know it. But it is true that there are an abundance of allegations 8 of other bad acts similar to the ones alleged in the 9 indictments in this case. And those other bad acts are 10 11 relevant but they go to the defendant's character and it is fundamental that a defendant not be convicted because he or 12 she has done other bad things, but only if the state proves 13 14 beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of 15 the acts charged.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, the New Hampshire Rule of Evidence 404-B does provide a limited exception to the rule that bad character evidence is inadmissible. The rule provides that such evidence may be admissible for other purposes such as proof of motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity or absence of mistake or accident. The plain meaning of the rule would seem to allow the other alleged acts to be admitted to show some of these things in

this case. However, these other acts, all but one at least, are only allegations. They have never been proved in a court of law.

Now, the New Hampshire Supreme Court in a very recent line of 404-B decisions, has been struggling to define the boundary of 404-B. The latest case, State v. Whittaker, stands as the most definitive interpretation although admittedly it is a 3 to 2 decision. Nevertheless, it is the law and it is the law that this Court will apply.

Now, it's true that other states do not interpret the 404-B exception as narrowly as the New Hampshire Supreme Court does. And for instance if we were in Massachusetts, the other bad acts would more likely be admitted. But it's not the job of this Court to apply a different standard than the New Hampshire Supreme Court has set in Whittaker. And the judiciary is only one of the three branches of New Hampshire government. If the people of New Hampshire disagree with the Supreme Court's interpretation of Rule 404-B, then they may work through the governor and the legislature to have it broadened or amended. Other states have done that. But it's not up to this Court to do it and I am not going to do it during this trial.

Now, it is true that I have substituted a new

1.3

reasonable doubt instruction for the -- that differs from the New Hampshire Supreme Court standard. I did that because I believe the new standard is clearer and it's not to favor either party. And I have discussed this with counsel and there has been no objection to the new standard. I say these things because I am concerned about them and because I want all the parties to know where we are as we go into the rest of this trial. So we'll break now until 1:30. We'll have Tom Grover back here. We'll put him on for Voir Dire. You're not to discuss anything about what we've talked about here with him. Thank you.

MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, if I may, I just have one brief commentary about one very small point that you mentioned in what you just read to us. One of the things you mentioned concerning the 404-B was that these allegations have not been proven in a court of law. As I understand all the 404-B decisions that deal with the admissibility of the other bad acts, that what the Supreme Court of this state means by clear proof.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you this Attorney Reynolds.

404-B evidence -- 404-B does not require that these things have been proven before a court of law. We know that from analysis and it only needs to be evidence that you have

1 clear proof of. And there is a substantial amount of that. MR. REYNOLDS: For example an eye witness or a victim who would 2 3 testify clearly as to the act would be sufficient. 4 THE COURT: Yes, and then we have -- the Court has to find 5 that the evidence is relevant and that the evidence is not 6 substantially more prejudicial to the defendant than it is 7 probative for the State. Thank you very much. 8 (Luncheon Recess) 9 OPEN COURT THE COURT: 10 We will have to keep this as short as we can. Ι 11 know you have to explore, but if she has to leave. 12 MR. DAVIS: Just so it's clear, if the witness wants to agree 13 on some kind of hand sign if she is uncomfortable. 14 THE COURT: I'll be watching her and she is just going to look 15 at me. 16 KATHERINE HALL 17 who, having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness and 18 testified under oath as follows: 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION: (by Mr. Reynolds) 20 Please state your name for the record spelling your last 21 name? 22 Katherine Hall, H-A-L-L. 23 0 Ms. Hall, I'm going to ask you to please speak into that

- 1 microphone otherwise I won't be able to hear you.
- 2 A Katherine Hall, H-A-L-L.
- 3 | Q Where do you live, Ms. Hall?
- 4 A In North Swanzey.
- 5 Q And let me ask you, what was your maiden name please?
- 6 A Murray.
- 7 Q And do you know or did you know at one time an individual
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And can you tell the jury please how you first met Tom
- 11 Grover?
- 12 A Through a friend.
- 13 Q And when did you first meet Tom Grover if you recall?
- 14 A In the summer of '83.
- 15 0 1983?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And let me ask you, did you and Tom date starting in that
- 18 | summer of 1983?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And is it fair to say that you were boyfriend and
- girlfriend for a number of years starting in 1983?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Did you have occasion to go to Tom Grover's house in the

- summer and I guess autumm of 1983?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And how often would you be over Tom's house I guess at the
- 4 beginning of the relationship until you went away to school
- 5 in the fall that year?
- 6 A Quite often.
- 7 | Q And did you have a chance to meet some or all of Tom
- 8 Grover's family?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 | Q And where were the Grovers living in the summer of 1983 and
- 11 into the fall?
- 12 A In Marlborough.
- 13 Q And did you ever meet or see at the home an individual at
- 14 the time you knew to be Gordon MacRae, a priest in the
- 15 Roman Catholic church?
- 16 A I don't recall in Marlborough.
- 17 Q Subsequent to Marlborough, did you come across MacRae at
- 18 the home at the Grover home?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And with what kind of frequency?
- 21 A Occasionally.
- 22 Q And did you have any understanding of his relationship with
- 23 the family?

- 1 A I knew he was close.
- 2 Q In the summer of 1993 and in the autumm when you might be
- 3 home on vacations from school and that sort of thing, did
- 4 you ever have occasion to take Tom Grover over to the St.
- 5 Bernard's rectory in Keene?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q For what purpose were you taking him over to the rectory
- 8 for those times do you recall?
- 9 A No, I had no idea.
- 10 Q Was that a fairly frequent occurrence?
- 11 A Somewhat.
- 12 | Q If you had to look back and make a reasonable estimate
- based on your memory, how often would you say that summer
- into the early fall would you have occasion to drive Tom
- over to the rectory?
- 16 A A few times a week.
- 17 Q And did you ever have occasion to pick him up from the
- rectory to maybe go someplace else?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You described Gordon MacRae as having a close relationship
- 21 with the family?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Can you tell us please what kinds of things you were aware

- of that he did that would indicate he was close to the
- 2 family to you?
- 3 A No, he was there I believe holidays and special occasions.
- 4 Q And did he ever babysit the family or any of the kids when
- 5 Pat Grover, Tom's mother, was out of the house, if you
- 6 know?
- 7 A I don't know if he actually babysat.
- 8 Q Would be present as a caretaker?
- 9 A Left in charge I guess. Whether he stayed I don't know.
- 10 | Q And during the course of your relationship with Tom Grover,
- 11 | did you understand Mr. MacRae to be providing counseling to
- 12 Tom?
- 13 A Not -- no. Not counseling per se.
- 14 Q Well, did Tom ever talk about the purposes for his going to
- 15 Mr. MacRae?
- 16 A No, he didn't.
- 17 Q And did you ever hear from any other source during that
- period of time why Tom was seeing Father MacRae?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Did Father MacRae ever give any indication to you what his
- 21 expertise sort of thing might be in counseling?
- 22 A No.
- Q Did he ever mention to you that he had a Master's degree in

```
1 | counseling?
```

- 2 A I don't believe so.
- 3 Q Did Father MacRae ever provide an instance of counseling to
- 4 you and Tom over at the rectory?
- 5 A If that's what you want to call it.
- 6 Q Characterized it another way, did you ever meet with Tom
- 7 Grover in the presence of Father MacRae over at the
- 8 rectory?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And do you recall what year that was?
- 11 A It must have been '85.
- 12 Q At that time if you know, did Father MacRae and Tom Grover
- appear to be close?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And you may not be able to answer this, the person you knew
- to be Father MacRae back in 1983, 1984, 1985, is he in the
- 17 | courtroom please?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Can you point him out please?
- 20 A (Witness pointed to the defendant).
- 21 Q To the gentleman I'm standing behind?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 MR. REYNOLDS: Please let the record show that the witness has

```
1
          identified the defendant.
 2
     THE COURT:
                   The record so shows.
 3
          One second please. Did Tom Grover drink or have a drug
 4
          problem in 1983 when you knew Tom?
 5
     Α
          Yes.
 6
          And you mentioned you wouldn't characterize as counseling
          your meeting with Father MacRae and Tom Grover.
 7
                                                            What would
 8
          you characterize it as, if you can?
 9
     Α
          His giving us his opinion.
10
          Do you recall that yesterday you mentioned to me that
11
          felt Gordon MacRae had a Master's degree in counseling
12
          No, I don't.
13
          Thank you.
14
     THE COURT:
                   Attorney Koch?
15
     MR. KOCH:
                   Your Honor, this will be Attorney Davis.
16
     CROSS-EXAMINATION: (By Mr. Davis)
          Ma'am, my name is JR Davis and I'm a lawyer and I represent
17
          Gordon MacRae. And I talk funny so if you don't understand
18
          what I say, just shake or whistle or something.
19
20
          ever met before today?
21
     A·
          No.
```

Have we ever talked before today?

22

23

Ά

No.

- 1 | Q When did you first come to know Tom Grover?
- 2 A 1983.
- 3 Q That was in the summer? I am sorry, ma'am?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q This woman, just so you will know, takes down everything
- 6 you and I say. Sometimes she has trouble figuring out what
- 7 I say but if we don't say it, it won't go on the record. I
- 8 know normally in conversation we nod and shake. I just
- 9 need to, whenever you answer, to say it in a word.
- And that's because ya'll became close with each other?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q You were boyfriend and girlfriend, is that right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And that would have been in the summer of 1983?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Now you were a couple years older than Tom, is that right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q Four?
- 19 A Two and a half.
- 20 Q Two and a half years older than Tom. And had you graduated
- 21 from high school in May of '83?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And so when Attorney Reynolds spoke a minute about the fall

- of '83, you then went on to college?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And you and Tom hit it off with each other right from the
- 4 beginning?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And irrespective of how the relationship ended, ya'll were
- 7 together for a couple years, is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And during that time period became quite close?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And it wasn't just a situation where you were boyfriend and
- girlfriend, ya'll were extremely close with each other, is
- 13 that right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And again excuse me I have to go into this, irrespective of
- how the relationship ended, you all believed at one time
- you loved each other, is that right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And as far as you knew, it was a deep seeded emotional
- 20 relationship for both of you at points of your
- 21 relationship, is that correct?
- 22 A At points.
- 23 Q Now when you all first met in the summer of 1983, Tom

- really seemed to enjoy being with you, didn't he?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And ya'll just started dating and things worked out pretty
- 4 | well at least in the beginning, right?
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 Q Tom seemed happy whenever ya'll were together and doing
- 7 stuff in the summer of 1983?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Do you ever remember any times in the summer of 1983 when
- 10 Tom seemed to be totally unaware of what was happening to
- 11 him?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And that's when he was drinking?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And he was drinking a lot in the summer of 1983, wasn't he?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q He already had pretty strong tendencies to drink in the
- summer of 1983, is that right?
- 19 A I would say.
- 20 | Q Do you remember where you met? I don't need to know the
- 21 | person's house, but was it a party or beach?
- 22 A Through a friend.
- 23 | Q And that was the beginning of the summer?

- 1 A Probably mid-summer.
- 2 Q And where did you go to school, ma'am? College?
- 3 A UNH.
- 4 Q In Durham?
- 5 A Um-hmm, yes.
- 6 Q That's a yes?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Now ya'll were together for a couple years, is that right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q So ya'll were together in the summer of '84 as well?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And do you remember Tom having odd jobs and work that he
- did in the summer of '84 at either St. Joe's Cemetary or
- 14 St. Bernard's Church in Keene?
- 15 A I don't recall.
- 16 Q Do you remember whether he had a job like that in the
- 17 summer of '85?
- 18 A I don't recall.
- 19 Q Do you remember him ever having any kind of work at either
- 20 St. Bernard's or St. Joe's?
- 21 A Not that I can recall.
- 22 Q You had a car in the summer or access to a car in the
- 23 summer of '83?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And the summer of '84?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And the summer of '85?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And during various of those times you would take Tom to St.
- 7 | Bernard's?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Do you ever remember taking him to St. Joe's?
- 10 A Not that I recall.
- 11 Q Do you remember taking Tom to St. Bernard's Church?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q But you don't know why he was going there?
- 14 A No, I don't.
- 15 Q And you don't know who he saw or what he did when he was
- 16 there?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q And there were occasions when you would pick him up as
- 19 | well?
- 20 A Yes, I would.
- 21 Q Now at some point in your relationship with Tom, you had
- occasion to meet his mom, is that right?
- 23 A Yes.

- 1 | Q And her name is Pat Grover?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And after some point in time you and Pat had some
- 4 disagreements, is that right?
- 5 A Some.
- 6 Q Irrespective of why, Pat didn't want you and Tom together,
- 7 is that right?
- 8 A No, I don't recall her ever saying that.
- 9 Q At least to you?
- 10 A At least to me.
- 11 | Q But you know there was tension?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 | Q Between you and Pat?
- 14 A Sometimes.
- 15 Q And there was tension between Pat Grover and Tom as well,
- 16 is that right?
- 17 A Some.
- 18 Q And that came up in 1984, do you remember that?
- 19 A No, I don't.
- 20 | Q You don't remember Pat Grover trying to interfere with your
- 21 relationship with Tom in 1984 or 1985?
- 22 A No, not her.
- 23 Q So she didn't have any problems as far as your relationship

- was concerned that you knew of?
- 2 A She wasn't always happy about it.
- 3 Q But at the beginning it wasn't a problem, right?
- 4 A Not that I know of.
- 5 Q It was only after you saw Tom for a while and you had been
- 6 dating for a while that it became a problem, that you were
- 7 aware of?
- 8 A That I'm aware of.
- 9 Q Now you and Tom ultimately had a child together, is that
- 10 | right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And I don't need to know your child's name but could you
- tell me when your child was born?
- 14 A June of '85.
- 15 Q June of 1985?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And Thomas Grover is the father?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And after that ya'll broke up?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q And again I don't need to know the reason. Now you don't
- remember Mr. MacRae ever holding himself out to be a
- 23 counselor?

- 1 | A Not that I recall.
- 2 Q And you don't know whether or not Tom ever went to him --
- 3 when I say Tom, I mean Thomas Grover. You don't ever know
- 4 Tom going to see Mr. MacRae for counseling, is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q And irrespective of why, Tom had a drinking problem from
- 8 the time you first met him, is that right?
- 9 A I didn't consider it a problem at first.
- 10 Q Tom didn't consider it a problem?
- 11 | A I didn't.
- 12 Q Neither one of you did?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Looking back on it now, the amount he was drinking and in
- the summer of 1983 given his age, it was a problem though,
- 16 | wasn't it?
- 17 A I would say now.
- 18 Q Hindsight is always a little clear. And Tom had a drug
- problem in addition to alcohol as well, didn't he?
- 20 A I originally was not aware of that.
- 21 Q But you learned?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q After you were with him for a little time?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And that was a problem as well, wasn't it?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Irrespective of Tom's problems that summer, ya'll met and
- 5 | first started dating and he was happy?
- 6 A There were a lot of problems.
- 7 Q Tom had a lot of problems?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q But he sure liked being around you?
- 10 A I guess.
- 11 | Q Ya'll got very close?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Do you remember having an opportunity to talk with Tom or
- visit Tom at a place called Beech Hill in Dublin, New
- 15 Hampshire?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And that was what, about April of 1985?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q And Tom was in treatment there, wasn't he?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Because of his drugging and drinking?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q He still didn't think he had a problem then, did he?

- 1 A I don't believe so.
- 2 Q But he did, didn't he?
- 3 A I would say, yes.
- 4 Q And do you know whether or not Mr. MacRae helped him get
- 5 into Beech Hill?
- 6 A Yes, I believe he did.
- 7 Q And Tom considered Mr. MacRae his friend, didn't he?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 MR. DAVIS: No further questions, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you, Attorney Davis.
- 11 Attorney Reynolds?
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION: (by Mr. Reynolds)
- 13 Q Did Tom talk a lot about Father MacRae to you?
- 14 A No, not a lot.
- 15 Q During the summer of 1983, what can you tell us about the
- 16 frequency of Tom's drinking?
- 17 A Often.
- 18 Q How often is that please?
- 19 A Summer?
- 20 0 Yes.
- 21 A Probably close to every day.
- 22 Q Mr. Davis asked you a question about Tom and Father MacRae
- in counseling and he asked you something to the effect do

- you understand Tom to be in counseling with Father MacRae
 or something to that affect and you hesitated and seemed to
 think a good long time before you answered. Can you tell
 me why you hesitated about that?
- A I don't know -- was he referring to that one meeting that
 we had which they called counseling? I didn't refer to it
 as that.
- 8 Q No, I'm referring to the summer of 1983, Tom's contact with
 9 MacRae then of what you understood about that?
- Because I was trying to recall if anybody ever called it counseling, if anybody ever said to me that's why he was going.
- Q Okay. I'm not talking about what anybody said about it,
 I'm talking about what you understood was going on?
- 15 A No, I never really knew why he was going.
- 16 Q But you knew he was seeing Gordon MacRae a lot?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And at the rectory?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q And that's one of the places you would drop Tom off quite a
- 21 | bit?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Thanks very much.

THE COURT: Anything further, Attorney Davis?

MR. DAVIS: No further questions, your Honor. The witness may be excused as far as the defense is concerned.

THE COURT: All right. Bailiff, take charge of the jury. It will probably be about half an hour, 15 minutes to a half hour before you're going to be back. So go ahead and take charge of the jury, George.

I want to thank you for coming and testifying.
(Recess)

HEARING OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF THOMAS GROVER

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Grover. I'm going to ask you some questions. The first thing I'm going to do is just read you some of the transcripts of your testimony and the questions that Attorney Koch was asking you two days ago and this is just to refresh your memory as to what the questions were and what your answers were. The question is this. Attorney Koch says, "Would you have reason to dispute Detective McLaughlin's notes that say that Detective McLaughlin got your name from William Cleary, an attorney and long time friend of the Grover family?" And then you said, "Yeah, that may be but the way I interpreted you asking me is when I sat down and talked and -- I never

1 sat down and talked to Bill Cleary in any detail of what 2 had happened. Jim McLaughlin was the first person outside of Deborah Collett that I sat down and actually talked to 3 and went into detail about that. 4 The incident. 5 discuss any other reasons why. I just -- it wouldn't be appropriate." And then Attorney Koch asked, "When you 6 contacted Mr. Cleary, though, or talked to him, sir, was it 7 8 in part to let him know what the situation was about?" 9 you answered, "No, he had been my lawyer for a few -- in a 10 few other incidents and I called him as much as a personal friend and a former lawyer to ask him his advice and no, I 11 12 did not go into any detail about anything, just basically asking." And then there is another part of the testimony 13 on the same subject later on. And Attorney Koch asks you, 14 15 "Excuse me, you hadn't even talked to Jim yet, is that correct?" And your answer is, "Right" and then Attorney 16 Koch asked, "So, sir, from my understanding of your earlier 17 18 testimony, you talked to William Cleary before you talked to Detective McLaughlin, not vice versa, isn't that true?" 19 20 And then you answer, "No, you asked me whatever your 21 question was who I talked to about the incidents, Deborah 22 Collett in 1986 and next -- who did I talk about and I said I talked to Jim McLaughlin in detail about what 23

happened. I talked to Bill Cleary, maybe it was before I talked to Jim but was only out of friendship and as a former lawyer to ask for his advice. You have to understand there were other circumstances going on at the

time which aren't able to -- "

Now, you weren't able -- you referenced this twice. You say, "I can't discuss any other reasons why, it just wouldn't be appropriate." And you say, "You have to understand there were other circumstances going on at the time which aren't able to --" Do you remember those answers?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: What was the reason that you could not complete your answers? As far as the Court orders are concerned about there being no testimony about assaults on anybody else, you can disregard those orders right here and now. There is no jury here. You can just answer the questions the way you would have if those orders hadn't existed. Would your answers be the same now or different.

THE WITNESS: They would be different.

THE COURT: And what would your answers be? Just give me a better idea of what your response would be.

THE WITNESS: This is the way it happened. I'm not really close

23

to my family. I come a couple times a year and keep in touch with them a couple times a year. I called my mother and she had said that Jim McLaughlin had been doing an investigation on Mr. MacRae and that my brother, Jon, was going to be seated in front of the grand jury in two days. She asked me again, which she had done in the past, asked me if I knew anything about -- you know, surrounding MacRae and so I didn't say anything to her. The conversation went on and then we just -- it just ended after that and then I called Jim McLaughlin's office and he wasn't in. the same morning or the same day I called Bill Cleary because he was already my brother's lawyer and I asked him you know basically what I should do, that I had called Jim and we were going to meet and just what he thinks -- well first I asked him if I could come talk to him but he said that being my brother's lawyer he wouldn't feel it would be fair to my brother to have two -- to represent both of He said, "Let me refer you to somebody." He said -then -- well, he didn't tell me right then who he was going to refer me to. He said he would get back to me. Meanwhile, I had talked -- I had talked to Jim McLaughlin on the phone and then Mr. Cleary got back in touch with me and gave me another reference to another lawyer that I

should speak to. And that was about it. That's all the conversation -- Mr. Cleary knew what was going on and Mr. Cleary knew about the sexual things that happened to my brother and he just knew -- I mean, when I talked to him he knew immediately what I was talking about without going into any detail.

THE COURT: Now is the reason for your somewhat confusing testimony to these questions because of what the Court order said?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I felt that I couldn't answer them without explaining -- without being able to fully explain exactly because Mr. Reynolds had said that I couldn't refer to certain things and on some of the questions Mr. Koch was asking me I was -- I didn't know how to answer them because of that very fact. There were some things that were important throughout my cross-examination that I was not able to disclose.

THE COURT: And is that the reason you looked back at me?

19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would look at you.

20 THE COURT: Hoping that I might be able to guide you and I
21 couldn't.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now what I'm going to do is ask that both

sides in the case have an opportunity to ask you some questions now too. Okay.

Attorney Reynolds, do you have any questions or Attorney Gainor?

DIRECT VOIR DIRE: (by Mr. Reynolds)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q Tom, I want to be sure I understand. Was the thing you felt you couldn't refer to in that conversation with Bill Cleary your knowledge of the sexual abuse of your brother, Jon, by the defendant?
- A No, I hadn't -- I had never had any detail. All I knew was that Jim McLaughlin had been doing an intense investigation over a period of time. I don't know when that time was and then that -- the cause of that investigation was that my brother would be coming on before the grand jury in two days time and --
- 16 Q And why was he going to grand jury?
- 17 A Because of a sexual assault on him by Mr. MacRae.
- 18 Q And you knew that when you called Bill Cleary?
- 19 A Yes, I did know that.
- Q Okay. So basically you and Bill didn't get into it but
 from what he said from what you knew, he is representing
 Jon concerning the sexual abuse on him by MacRae?
- 23 A That's correct.

- 1 Q And that's something you couldn't talk about during your 2 disclosure in front of the jury?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q And you were thinking about that and how to get out of not mentioning that?
 - A Right. I was trying to come up with an answer where I didn't have to bring that -- I started to when he asked me -- I was just about making that the way I wanted to say it but I couldn't do it that way because of what you had said earlier that I can't refer to certain things, about the other trials and stuff like that, the other trials.
- 12 Q And the abuse of the other victims?
- 13 A Right.

7 .

8

9

10

- 14 Q To be sure I understand, you spoke with your mother, she
 15 had related that Jon was coming forward and you knew from
 16 what little was said that that was about sexual abuse by
 17 Gordon MacRae against Jon, your brother?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q You didn't want to discuss that with your mom, you 20 basically avoided --
- 21 A She didn't know much at the time either. What did she call
 22 -- it was a secret indictment and she didn't know any
 23 information. She just knew that it was -- that there was

an investigation already had taken place and that -- I

don't know, so she didn't really know too much so she

didn't actually say anything about any details. It was

just I knew when she said that he was going in front of the

grand jury about Mr. MacRae, I knew what it was about.

- Q So your response based on your knowledge was "Jon, too," by golly?
- A Yeah.

- Q So what's the reason you came forward to Bill Cleary and Jim McLaughlin then based on your conversation with your mother? Why did you want to come forward on the sexual abuse for you then?
- A Because all along Mr. MacRae -- well, first Ms. Collett would -- her reaction to my admission that I was being sexually abused by Mr. MacRae, her response of total disbelief and just the way she reacted made me believe that what Mr. MacRae had been telling me over the years that no matter -- that no matter who I told, no one would believe me and, so I just believed that for a long time until somebody else -- it didn't have to be my brother. Just as long as it was someone else that was coming out and that somebody did believe them, I just -- I don't know. I just didn't believe that other people would believe because of

- continuously -- MacRae continually, continually telling me
 that no one would believe me, no one would believe me and a
 lot of things that he said were true over the years that he
 had said when he would say something and then it would
- So now based on this conversation with your mother that
 somebody else, anybody else had come forward, so now you
 believed if you came forward, too, you could be believed?
- 9 A Yes, exactly.

- 10 Q And that's what you couldn't tell the jury?
- 11 A That's right.
- 12 Q And that's why you came forward because you knew you had a partner, you had an ali?
- 14 A That's right.
- 15 Q Thank you, Tom.
- 16 THE COURT: Any questions?
- 17 CROSS VOIR DIRE: (by Mr. Davis)

happen that way.

- 18 Q When you had that telephone conversation with your momma,
 19 you called, right?
- 20 A Yes, correct.
- 21 Q Tom, speak up a little bit because I am hard of hearing.
- 22 And she let you know that it had to do with Gordon MacRae
- and Jon and sex abuse, is that right?

- 1 | A I don't know if she said those exact words, "sex abuse".
- Q It had to do with Jon, Gordon and something inappropriate
 with sex, whether she used the term sex abuse or not. You
- 4 knew that in the conversation?
- A Again, she didn't use the word sex abuse. I'm not sure how she referred to it.
- Bear with me, Mr. Grover. I'm not asking if she used the
 words "sex abuse" but when you talked to your mother on the
 telephone, you knew that she was telling you that Jon was
 going to the grand jury and had been talking to Detective
 McLaughlin regarding Gordon MacRae and something having to
 do with sex?
- 13 A I came to that conclusion myself.
- 14 Q And she tried to find out if anything had ever happened to you?
- 16 A She said what she said to me was a secret indictment,
 17 nothing was known. Nobody talked about it. I didn't know
 18 about it. She didn't know about it until two days before
 19 he was to go before the grand jury.
- 20 Q Well, if he hadn't gone before the grand jury, how could
 21 there already be an indictment and how could it be a secret
 22 indictment?
- 23 A I don't know. I just know what the conversation was Mr.

1 | --

- 2 Q And she told you that Bill Cleary represented Jon?
- 3 A Yeah, somewhere in that conversation.
- 4 Q She told you you ought to talk to Bill Cleary?
- 5 A No, she didn't say anything to me about talking to
- 6 anybody. That was on my own -- I did it on my own. I
- 7 | called Jim McLaughlin on my own. No one said you have to
- 8 do this or you should do this or that. She didn't even
- 9 | know and I'm sure when I told -- she was surprised when I
- 10 | told her I called Jim McLaughlin and that's all I said to
- 11 her. I didn't say what we talked about. All I said was I
- 12 | called Jim McLaughlin and out of --
- 13 | Q That's the second telephone conversation?
- 14 A Right.
- 15 | Q I'm back on the first one. When you called your mom out of
- the blue, just happened to be calling and she happened to
- mention that your brother was going to the grand jury and
- had been talking to Detective McLaughlin, at that time she
- told you Bill Cleary represented Jon, is that right?
- 20 A Later on in the conversation.
- 21 | Q During that same telephone conversation?
- 22 | A Yes, in that same telephone conversation.
- 23 | Q That's conversation one. All right. Let's just call that

- the first telephone conversation. There was another

 telephone conversation later that when you talked to your
- 3 momma after you talked to Detective McLaughlin, correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q That's telephone conversation two?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q Now this first conversation at the end of that telephone
- 8 conversation you knew Attorney Cleary was representing Jon?
- 9 A That's right.
- 10 Q Okay. And you decided, it wasn't your momma that put you
- up to it, but you decided to call Attorney Cleary?
- 12 | A That's correct.
- 13 Q And you decided to call Mr. Detective McLaughlin?
- 14 A That's right. The only question my mother asked me is if I
- knew anything. That's all she said, if I knew anything.
- 16 Q During the first telephone conversation?
- 17 A Right, during the first telephone conversation.
- 18 Q You thought she was trying to find out something, right?
- 19 A Well, she was asking me a question if I knew anything.
- 20 Q About sexual abuse?
- 21 A She did not use that term. She just simply said, "Do you
- 22 know anything?" She just said, "Do you know anything about
- 23 it?" That's all she said. There was nothing ever brought

- up about sexual abuse. There was nothing brought up about that in that first conversation. She simply said, "Do you know anything about it?"
 - Q And just a couple minutes ago in response to Attorney
 Reynolds' questioning, did you say something about "and she
 tried to find out in the past" or words to that effect or
 "she had asked me in the past"?
- 8 A Yeah, she had asked me in the past but I can't say exactly
 9 when in time.
- THE COURT: Attorney Davis, I am going to tell you something.

 When you ask a witness a question and the witness is

 answering I want you to look at that witness and listen to

 the answer.
- 14 MR. DAVIS: Sorry, your Honor. I've been listening.
- THE COURT: Well he is formulating an answer and you two are talking.
- 17 Q When?

5

6

- 18 A I said I don't recall when she asked me that.
- 19 Q I mean are we talking about that -- this conversation, the
 20 first conversation with your momma on the phone, that
 21 spring of 1993, correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q Are we talking about some time in 1993 or sometime in '92?

- 1 A I don't recall.
- 2 Q Are we talking about back whenever you were still living
- 3 | with your mother?
- 4 A Sir, I don't remember.
- 5 Q You have no idea when she previously asked you about
- 6 whether anything wrong happened between you and Mr. MacRae?
- 7 A I just said I don't remember.
- 8 Q Do you have any idea how many times it happened?
- 9 A She asked me?
- 10 | 0 Yes.
- 11 A Maybe one time before and then twice including that first
- 12 conversation.
- 13 Q So then she asked again after the first telephone
- 14 conversation?
- 15 A Before. She asked me before the telephone conversation and
- during the first telephone conversation for a total of two.
- 17 Q Okay. I'm sorry. I got confused. But you have no idea
- what she said when she asked previously?
- 19 A No.
- 20 | Q Do you know if it was in person or on the phone?
- 21 A Chances are it was on the phone. I really don't spend that
- 22 much time at my mother's house, maybe twice or three times
- a year even that. I'm not really on -- I don't have

- 1 constant contact with her.
- 2 | Q You don't now and you haven't for some period of time?
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q But for instance if we go back to the date of these
- 5 allegations of indictment, you were living with her then.
- 6 That was summer of '83 and the fall semester of 1983,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q You were still living home then?
- 10 A That's right.
- 11 Q And then you continued to live at home on and off at
- various times and even came back and lived with either your
- mother and father after you went to California in the late
- 14 '80's?
- 15 A Yes, I lived with my father.
- 16 Q So you have no idea of whether she is previous -- whether
- the previous inquiry from your mother whenever she was
- trying to find out if anything ever happened between you
- 19 and Mr. MacRae?
- 20 MR. REYNOLDS: I think we're way beyond the scope of this
- 21 hearing.
- 22 MR. KOCH: Well, I also think we've learned for the first
- 23 time some new evidence that I believe may contradict some

- 1 previous statements that I have from Mr. Grover and some information he has given to the Detective. 2
 - MR. REYNOLDS: Well he has also answered this question about four times that he doesn't remember.
- 5 THE COURT: It's been asked and answered. The objection 6 is sustained.
- 7 When you talked to Attorney Cleary, he told you he couldn't 8 represent you because of what lawyers call a conflict?
- He didn't call it a conflict, he just said he would rather 10 refer me to someone else.
- 11 Because he was representing Jon?
- 12 That's correct.

4

- 13 And he gave you the name of one or more other attorneys?
- 14 No, he did not. He didn't just pick up -- he didn't just 15 pick a name out of thin air and tell me over the phone in that conversation. He told me he would get back to me and 16
- then what came about was he gave me a name and I went to 17
- 18 see him.
- 19 So whether it happened in the first time you talked to 20 Attorney Cleary or not, you got a reference as to another 21 attorney that you might speak to and you did?
- 22 That's correct. Α
- 23 And for whatever reason, that didn't work out with that O

```
1
           attorney and then you saw Attorney Upton?
 2
           That's correct.
 3
          Thank you.
 4
     THE COURT:
                    Anything further?
 5
     MR. REYNOLDS: No.
 6
                   All right. Then you can step down. And what I
     THE COURT:
          plan on doing is I will listen to arguments from counsel
 7
          and then make a decision and we'll have the jury come back
 8
 9
          up.
10
     MR. DAVIS:
                   Your Honor, because of the nature of the argument,
          might I suggest that it be outside of the hearing of the
11
12
          witness.
1.3
     THE COURT:
                   I guess the witness is leaving anyway.
14
     MR. DAVIS:
                   Moot.
                           Thank you, your Honor.
                   Your Honor, I want the Court to note that I was
15
     MR. KOCH:
          listening to every word Mr. Grover was saying.
16
                                                           I was fixed
17
          on him.
                   I thought that was a discourtesy for Mr. Davis to
18
     THE COURT:
          ask a question and then turn away from the witness.
19
20
     MR. KOCH:
                   All right, your Honor.
                   I just don't expect to see that.
21
     THE COURT:
22
     MR. KOCH:
                   Certainly.
```

It was nothing against you.

23

THE COURT:

1 Attorney Gainor?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. GAINOR: Your Honor, the whole issue here is on fair Whether the defendant, through asking advantage. questions, has gained a non-fair advantage by what the witness cannot say, what the Court's order prohibits that witness from saying and what he was prohibited from saying is the reason he came forward, the main reason. The sole reason he finally came forward is because there was corroboration. His brother, Jon Grover. And now in spite of the defendant's threats of people not believing him, Tom Grover, for once in his life, had the confidence to come forward and that was the reason. The most important reason which the defense put into issue which Tom Grover could not tell that jury and that's the unfair advantage because they don't know, the jury doesn't know that was the reason. also the sequence of events. Tom had to basically skate around the truth and be very careful and appear to be hesitant on the stand looking to counsel for assistance, looking to your Honor for assistance. The jury doesn't know what all of that was about. It was about him asking for assistance because he was being led by the defendant into areas where he could not go unless he wanted a mistrial which he certainly does not and the reason he

1 contacted Bill Cleary was because of Jon Grover, his 2 brother coming forward. Tom could not say that. Again the defense led him into that avenue. But for Jon, he wouldn't 3 have contacted his lawyer or his possible lawyer to be, 5 Bill Cleary and Tom couldn't say that. Tom had to give a half hearted, half truth explanation, "Well, the reason I 6 7 went to him is because he was an old lawyer of mine, a 8 friend of the family." That is partially true; but the 9 main reason he went to him is because his brother came 10 forward and told Tom that he had the same experiences. 11 may not have been verbatim as to Jon telling Tom exactly 12 what happened but certainly Tom knew what it was all 13 about. And the same goes for Detective McLaughlin as to why this witness, Tom Grover, contacted Detective 14 15 McLaughlin. It was not solely because of what happened to 16 him, it was because there was corroboration. There was 17 another victim out there and Tom, only because of him, had the confidence and the ability to come forward and that is 18 19 a misleading advantage the defense has now. Tom had very 20 good reason to speak his mind as to the truth and he could 21 not because of the Court order and again the defense led 22 him into that box.

THE COURT: Thank you. Attorney Koch?

MR. KOCH: Thank you, your Honor. The Court felt I think from some of our side bars that Mr. Grover was trying to be as responsive as he could but, your Honor, if the Court will review the questions and we had been told before this case to begin especially me, Mr. Koch, you have to be very careful in the terms of your questioning and what you ask and what you're expecting. So throughout this entire time of cross-examination of Mr. Grover, I have tried to make my questions extremely pointed and not open the door so to In fact, the State, on a couple of occasions, when they thought we might be broaching an area and it wasn't one of these, thought well maybe we're moving a little where we shouldn't be and they even brought it to the attention of the Court and myself which I appreciated because with a witness like Tom Grover, your Honor, that you can't get a straight answer from to a very simple question, you have to be very artfull in terms of the way you ask your questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, the Court read the questions I asked him and there was nothing confusing about those. If I remember correctly, your Honor, what I asked him is did you talk to Detective McLaughlin or to William Cleary first? The whole nature of the conversation and questioning that I asked had

to do with that. Was the cart before the horse or vice versa and if the Court will look to my questions again, now if that somehow leads him or puts him in a position where he just can't answer that question, I think that's taking unfair advantage of the defense. All I was trying to do is find out, "Who did you talk to first? Is it as you say here today that you talked to Detective McLaughlin because that was his testimony and then to William Cleary or is it as Mr. McLaughlin states in his report that you talked to William Cleary and then Detective McLaughlin." I didn't go into any detail, your Honor, about what the nature of the conversations was. Part of it is attorney/client. confidential communication and furthermore, all I wanted to know was, "Was that the reason you had gone?" words, "Did at least Mr. Cleary know the reason you were there had to deal with an issue relating to some kind of abuse?" And the same thing with Detective McLaughlin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, your Honor, in my mind that called for a very simple response and it was not convoluted and I asked the Court on several occasions to please have him answer the question. I don't think I could ever get a single answer out of him, yes or no. It was always somewhat a rather lengthy explanation and even with those questions the Court

reads there, his responses, "Did you talk to Mr. Cleary first or Detective McLaughlin first?" And off he goes. Now if he felt that somehow he was constrained of that it was appropriately so but his answer was not responsive in that regard and it's not in any form or fashion misleading. If the Court allows that kind of evidence based on a question like that, then in part we're into a situation where we're again trying what was told to Mr. Cleary and in other words what attorney/client communications -- what did they discuss and additionally what about these allegations regarding Jon Grover? And I would respectfully request the Court not allow those simple questions to open up that full area of inquiry. Thank you.

MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, you can't view these questions in isolation. What Attorney Koch did very artfullly is create an entire structure within which the same response would create in the minds of the jury that this disclosure, whatever it was, was a put up job on the part of the defendants in league somehow with Mr. Cleary and Mr. McLaughlin and his mother. That's the impression the jury got from that exchange. And that's the unfair advantage. It wasn't a put up job. It went from point A, to point B, to point C and he couldn't give us B, C and D because of

the constraint on the 404-B order. He was led blindfully down the pike with regard to the context in which the questions took place and now he looks like a prevaricator at the very least and perhaps someone who's obstinant in trying to deny giving out information that may be important for the defense based on the structure of the questions. It looks like he is up to something and he is not up to anything. He is up to trying to give a fair response to fair questions and he didn't get fair questions.

THE COURT: Well, I will tell you. Immediately upon him answering -- and I do remember him answering, "You have to understand there are other circumstances --" and then looking at me and my knowing that his answer was being -- was coming across as confusing and not logical because he knew that the Court had ordered that nothing could be disclosed about the allegations of abuse of his brothers or that grand jury proceedings which he pointed out here today. Now the fact -- that leapt out at me at the moment that it happened here. I know that my law clerk's notes picked that up immediately. I know that that's what the State raised in its argument here and actually Attorney Davis raised it better than the State did.

Now, something is wrong. We have two newspaper

23

reporters who observed the same thing and then I know they have to write a lot but not only did they observe it and write it down, it ended up in two newspapers so it had to have had an impact on the jury similar to the impact that it had on the press, on the judge and so I feel that my order in that context and within the context of the questions that Attorney Koch asked, resulted in an unfair advantage or misleading advantage to the defendant on the question of this witness' credibility and as I pointed out before, credibility is the biggest issue in this case as it is in most of these sorts of cases. One person's word against the other and he was damaged up here due to my order on his inability to answer fully the questions. don't -- I am not going to allow in the prior act evidence. What I'm going to do is simply read the part of the testimony that we have in the transcripts to the jury verbatim and then I will give them an instruction that they are to disregard any confusion that may have resulted as the witness answered those questions because the witness was unable to answer them fully because of a court order in this case and then I'll go back here and figure out exactly how I am going to do it but I will do it to the effect that they are not to make any conclusion one-way or the other

1 concerning any of this testimony. I think that's the fair 2 thing to do. That keeps out the bad act question and removes the confusion from the testimony and puts everybody 3 4 back on the same playing field again. So that's what we'll 5 I'll go in and draft what I'm going to do. probably take about ten minutes and go back to work. 6 7 MR. DAVIS: With your permission, do I still have permission to leave the Court early this afternoon? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. Your Honor, may I register an objection now or 10 MR. KOCH: 11 after the order is prepared? 12 THE COURT: No, that's fine. You can object now. 13 MR. KOCH: Your Honor, I would object to that process and 14 we've already stated our reasons why. I don't think I opened the door. I don't think there was confusion. I 1.5 16 think he was non-responsive; and with all due respect, I 17 think the record shows that. The question was simple. 18 "Who did you talk to first?" And that was his response. 19 But thank you, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 21 (Recess) 22 OPEN COURT 23 THE COURT: Okay. Before we go forward, you will recall I

23

think two days ago Mr. Grover testified during cross-examination by Mr. Koch that he had made statements to Detective McLaughlin and Attorney Bill Cleary. I am now going to read you two portions of that testimony. will include the question and answers. First Attorney Koch's question, "The -- would you have reason to dispute Detective McLaughlin's notes that say that Detective McLaughlin got your name from William Cleary, an attorney and long time friend of the Grover family?" And then there is Mr. Grover's answer, "Yeah, that may be but the way I interpreted you asking me is when I sat down and talked. never sat down and talked to Bill Cleary in any detail of what had happened. Jim McLaughlin was the first person outside of Deborah Collett that I sat down and actually talked to and went into detail about that. The incident. I can't discuss any other reasons why. I -- it just wouldn't be appropriate." Now this is a question from Mr. Koch, "When you contacted Mr. Cleary though, or talked to him, sir, was it in part to let him know what the situation was about?" Answer, "No, he had been my lawyer, a few -in a few other incidents and I called him as much as a personal friend and a former lawyer to ask him his advice and no, I did not go into any detail about anything just

basically asking -- " And then there was another part of the testimony. There was a question from Attorney Koch. "Excuse me, you hadn't even talked to Jim yet? Is that correct?" And the answer was, "Right". And then Attorney Koch asked, "So, sir, from my understanding of your earlier testimony, you talked to William Cleary before you talked to Detective McLaughlin, not vice versa, isn't that true?" And the answer from Mr. Grover, "No, you asked me whatever your question was. Who I talked to about the incidents. Deborah Collett in 1986 and next who did I talk about and I said I talked to Jim McLaughlin in detail about what I talked to Bill Cleary maybe it was before I happened. talked to Jim but it was only out of friendship, and as my former lawyer, to ask for his advice. You have to understand that there were other circumstances going on at the time which aren't able to --"

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ladies and gentlemen, you may not consider those statements for the purpose of determining whether Mr. Grover told the truth when he testified to those statements. You may not consider those statements for the purposes of determining whether Mr. Grover is telling the truth during the rest of his testimony. At the time Mr. Grover made those statements, he could not fully answer Mr.

Koch's questions. There was a standing Court order in this 2 case that prevented Mr. Grover from answering the questions completely. So this -- these parts of the testimony that 3 4 I point out here are not to be used by you. 5 THE COURT: Attorney Reynolds? May it please the Court. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION: (by Mr. Reynolds) 7 Tom, I'm going to try to take you backwards a little bit 8 through the cross-examination and ask you a few points 9 about that. First thing I want to ask you about is 10 Defendant's Exhibit A and this is the discharge agreement, 11 is it, from Derby Lodge? 12 Α Yes, it is. 13 And you indicated I think earlier that the only writing of 14 your own on there is your signature? 15 That's correct. 16 And it was filled out by someone else? 17 That's correct. 18 Do you remember whether or not that was filled out in front 19 of you that day or so before your discharge? 20 I can't recall. 21 Could have been filled out the day before you were 22 discharged or sometime earlier as well? 23 Α That's correct.

- 1 Q It lists Gordon MacRae as your AA sponsor. Isn't that
- 2 | right?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q Did you have any choice about who your AA sponsor was going
- 5 to be when you left Derby Lodge?
- 6 A Did I have a choice?
- 7 Q Yes.
- 8 A Yes, I had a choice.
- 9 Q Why did you pick Gordon MacRae?
- 10 A I didn't put that in there.
- 11 | Q All right.
- 12 A What led up to this, why this might be the way it is was
- because there were other papers that weren't in the stack
- of papers that was presented to me this morning. I signed
- other papers -- what would you call those.
- 16 Q Releases?
- 17 A Yeah, releases but when you sign yourself into a place like
- 18 that.
- 19 Q Some sort of admission papers?
- 20 A Yeah, admission papers. I signed admission papers and a
- 21 couple releases and those papers weren't present in that
- 22 stack of papers that was here this morning and the only
- thing I can come up with how they put Mr. MacRae's name on

there was because Mr. MacRae brought me there, Mr. MacRae

-- I had signed a release for Mr. MacRae and at the time

my things that were going on in my life, my family didn't

want anything to do with me and Mr. MacRae stepped in and

once again tried to -- he tried to take matters into his

own hands. You know, just brought up the point that he

knew an AA person at Derby Lodge and that he could get me

in there and he brought me up there. I called my mother

just before we left and she didn't really respond either

way to it. She just, at that point, was glad I suppose to

see that something was happening and so whoever filled this

in may have just been going on the fact that I had come

with Mr. MacRae and that I had signed a release for Mr.

MacRae.

- Q So they could have made the assumption or you could have just fallen into it given the history he had with getting you in and keeping you at Derby Lodge?
- A That's correct. Also on this paper it says I agree to call Debbie referring to Debbie Collett at Derby Lodge. Debbie Collett was no longer at Derby Lodge when I left Derby Lodge. She had stepped down as director or whatever her position there was. She had left at that point. Sometime during my treatment for some unknown reason just dropped

- off and was no longer employed there and so I don't know
 how they came up with I agree to call Debbie. Like I said,
 somebody else had filled this out. I signed it on the
 bottom.
- Derby Lodge

 Let me ask you this. I'll ask you what this Derby Lodge

 document is, page number D-237 counsel, and ask you if you

 can tell me what that document is, what the title is?
 - A This is a discharge summary from Derby's Lodge in 1986.
 - And let me show you where it lists on that document, does it note what your support system is according to Derby Lodge on the discharge summary? Does it list what your support system was?
 - A Yes, part way down the page it says support system, and it reads after that, "client support systems appears to consist of the following: Father Gordon MacRae" and no other names after that.
- 17 Q And that's not a document that you filled out, that's their internal stuff?
- 19 A That's correct.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 Q Tom, by the time you got out of Derby Lodge, you had been 21 pretty well estranged from your family, hadn't you?
- 22 A Yes, well, up to -- yeah, up to that point.
- 23 Q You got a ride from Concord after you left Derby Lodge to

Tirrell House I guess or was it for about a month between the two as I recall, a ride from your father?

- When I was released from Derby Lodge, I went from Berlin on the bus to Concord and my father picked me up. I went to my mother's house only under certain conditions and some of those conditions were that I be waiting to go to Tirrell House because the waiting list was so long. Originally Derby Lodge is only a three month program or a little bit less, 28 days or something like that and they extended me some time because I had been waiting on a waiting list to get into Tirrell House so under certain conditions made between my mother and Mr. MacRae was I allowed to stay at my mother's house for the period of time between leaving Derby Lodge and entering Tirrell House and during that time Mr. MacRae would frequently visit me at my mother's house and would take me to meetings and things of that nature. So while you were at your mother's house, you're staying there for the month or so it takes you to get into Tirrell House after Derby Lodge but basically the defendant is
- A That's correct.

still running the show?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q

Α

Q When you were 15 years of age and you were being assaulted in the rectory of St. Bernard's Parish Church, who was

- 1 running the show then?
- 2 A Mr. MacRae.
- 3 Q As a matter of fact, from that time he pretty well ran the
- 4 show for most of your adolescent and late teen years,
- 5 | didn't he?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 | Q Is it fair to say that in terms of counseling sessions that
- 8 took place in the rectory, that you sometimes had
- 9 counseling sessions with MacRae that did not involve
- 10 assaults, some --
- 11 A Yes, there were times when we had spoke and had those
- 12 counseling sessions that things went all right or talked
- and whatever, that nothing happened.
- 14 Q And you disclosed that to Detective McLaughlin that
- sometimes you had counseling sessions and were not sexually
- 16 assaulted?
- 17 A I believe so.
- 18 Q Approximately how long was your first conversation with
- Detective McLaughlin when you actually talked about the
- 20 assaults?
- 21 A I can say it was lengthy, more than an hour.
- 22 Q An hour to two hours?
- 23 A That's fair to say.

- 1 Q And I think he asked you on one or two other occasions, I'm
- 2 not sure of the number, but one or two other occasions to
- fill in some additional details. Is that right?
- 4 A Yes, he did.
- 5 Q Now you and I spoke, I want to say a couple of weeks ago,
- 6 late one week and then the next week following, isn't that
- 7 right?
- 8 A Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q And that was here in Keene and then in Manchester?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 | Q And do you recall who did most of the talking? You or me?
- 12 A I did most of the talking.
- 13 | Q And do you recall that basically in those two sessions
- 14 starting with the first one and going through the second
- one you had pretty much covered the assaults that you have
- laid out for the jury here the last few days?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 Q And if you put those two sessions together from start to
- 19 finish, approximately how much time did you spend talking
- 20 over those two days?
- 21 A I'd say more than six hours.
- 22 Q And were you able in that period of time to provide some
- more detail than you had in the conversations with Mr.

- 1 McLaughlin back a year or so ago?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And is that what you related to the jury here in the last
- 4 few days?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Anybody put words in your mouth?
- 7 A Never.
- 8 Q Do you recall indicating to Mr. McLaughlin when you spoke
- 9 with him that perhaps you didn't use the term breakdown but
- you were emotionally upset by the defendant when he was
- 11 assaulting you in the rectory?
- 12 A I think I used other descriptive words to that nature.
- 13 Q Mr. Koch brought up a lot of issues, did he not, about you
- being a fairly strong, active, mid-teen, violent behavior,
- that sort of thing. Do you recall that?
- 16 A Yes, I recall.
- 17 | Q And do you recall testifying that basically force and that
- sort of thing was primarily something you did in your
- 19 younger years and you did against your peers?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q Is Father MacRae the kind of person you ever considered
- 22 using force against?
- 23 A No.

- 1 Q Why not?
- Because he was my whole life line at the time. He had

 control over every aspect of my life. It was either him or

 nobody. My family just had no longer wanted to back me in

 any way and it was an opportunity for Mr. MacRae to step in

 and do basically whatever he pleased.
- Speaking of the assaults in the southwest office, you had indicated, did you not, that while people may have come in that office, that you don't recall anybody actually working in that office when you and MacRae went in, into the entryway?
- 12 A Could you ask that again?
- 13 Q I sure can. Speaking of the first couple of assaults,
 14 those times when you were assaulted in the southwest
 15 office, in order to get to the southwest office of course
 16 you have to go through the entryway, correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And that entryway or entry office is I think you described

 19 it, did you not, as -- it's my term -- Grand Central

 20 Station, but people come and go through there?
- 21 A Correct.
- Q Do you have any recollection of anyone actually being in that office other than simply to mosey through from time to

1 time? 2 Using it as an office? Actually using it rather than just simply passing? 3 4 Α No one was there using the office at the time. 5 just a lot of traffic coming in and out. 6 Is it reasonable in a counseling session going on behind a Q 7 closed door that someone walking by might hear someone 8 crying? 9 Α On other occasions when I was at the rectory I had 10 heard people crying. Some of the other priests would leave their doors ajar, depending on what they were talking about 11 12 but there were people that had come for counseling and you could hear them crying so it wasn't an uncommon thing to 13 14 happen. 15 Let me show you this photograph, State's Exhibit C-5, which the jury has already seen. Thanksgiving 1983. 16 It shows 17 the defendant, you, and some other members of your family, 18 is that right? 19 Correct. Α 20 And you took that out of an old family photo album? 21 Yes, I did.

And can you tell this jury with certainty that the assaults

that you've testified to took place in that rectory prior

22

1 to that 1983 Thanksgiving?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 2 The assaults had happened to me that were described Α 3 to you took place before this picture in 1983.
- During the period of 1983, even as the assaults 4 Q Thank you. were going on that you've described, was the defendant doing some nice things for you?
 - Α He had -- he would buy presents, give gifts, take me out to eat. He would even give me money, spending money, money that I spent to buy drugs and buy alcohol. money that was given to me from Mr. MacRae.
 - You said something that I'm afraid I didn't quite Q understand. Maybe you can explain it to me. You said under cross-examination from Mr. Koch that you, Tom Grover, felt that you had brought the problems on concerning the defendant and that you took responsibility for the defendant's behavior. Can you tell me about that or explain that to me?
 - I didn't know -- I just felt ashamed and guilty and didn't really know -- didn't really know what to think. I thought I thought because of everything that was going it was me. on.
- 22 Q Please speak up, Tom?
- 23 I was just -- I don't know. Α I was just confused and hurt

ashamed, and felt guilty and I just thought that I deserved what was happening and didn't know any better and never once did I ever think that what he was doing was wrong and I felt bad for a lot of years because I sat there and I didn't do anything to stop it and I couldn't stop it. I had to rely on -- just had to rely on -- he made me rely on him. He cut my family out of my life and he took over. He took over that -- he took their place and I just never thought of ever blaming him. I was just all messed up inside.

11 | Q And you were 15?

- 12 A Yes, I was 15 years old.
 - Q During this period of time from when the assaults began up until the -- up until just before you -- well as you had gone through Derby Lodge, all those other circumstances, what's your self-esteem been like?
 - A I really guess I don't have any. I think of -- I don't think of myself as a good person. I hurt all the time and I think -- I don't know. I just don't have any respect for myself because I feel like I could have done something to stop what happened but I didn't and now it hurts and that never, never, never goes away. I wake up in the morning with it. I go to bed with it every day. Even when

- I try to have fun, even when there is a hundred people
 around, you still think -- I still feel -- it eats away at
 me.
- 4 Q And you've tried not to think about it?

- A I tried not to think about it and it's just there and just keeps on being there and I try not to think about it. The only way I ever found that numbed it enough was to drink and do drugs and that, too, wouldn't take away all the pain, just maybe for the time, for the few hours or whatever.
- During the time, Tom, after the separation of your mother and your father and going into the divorce, you had contact with your father I guess and sports teams and that sort of thing but did you have the kind of contact with him that a teen-age boy needs with his father?
- No. No. We just -- outside of going to practice and hitting the ball around or playing ball, I really didn't have much contact at home or any conversations or anything like that. I just wasn't that close to my father.
- Q And did you have a father substitute?
- 21 A Yes, I did. Somebody who came along and played the role
 22 that I thought a father should be and just took control and
 23 just used whatever he could to keep me under that control.

- Do you know how the arrangements were made to get Jon to go to the airport with you and Chris and Gordon MacRae that time you were taking Chris back to the Air Force?
- 4 A Who made the arrangements?
- 5 Q Yeah.

- A Well, Mr. MacRae was also very friendly with Jon, my brother, and he wanted both of us to go with him and Gordon had volunteered to take my brother, Chris, and wanted us to go with him but Jon didn't make it for whatever reason.
 - Q And you don't know what reason Jon did have for going?
- 11 A Right.
 - At Tirrell House -- the chart up there that Mr. Koch drew indicates up in that chart about a three month period that you were in Tirrell House. Tell the jury please what the Tirrell House system is? Meetings all day long, every day or do you do different things? How does that work?

 A Tirrell House is just basically called a halfway house
 - Tirrell House is just basically called a halfway house where other alcoholics or drug abusers go as a stepping stone from usually they just come out of a 30 day program and go there where they are in a safe environment and you go -- all it is is you have an assigned room and you go to work, you look for work -- you have to work while you're there. You go to work, you come home, and you all eat

together and you have certain chores you have to do and once a week you have a house meeting and once a week you have one-on-one counseling sessions and I think there is a mandatory rule that you have to go to three AA meetings a week and it's just a structured environment that some

- Q That's the kind of structured environment somebody with an alcohol and drug problem needs?
- 9 A Correct.

people need.

6

7

- 10 Q Mr. Koch asked you a question and showed you a paper and
 11 let me show you another copy of that same thing. It's the
 12 one -- do you remember he read you half of the sentence you
 13 wrote and not the whole sentence?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And what was that whole sentence that you wrote that he didn't read to you?
- 17 A It says, "I lied a lot to get what I wanted or sometimes out of fear".
- 19 Q Now part of that document also -- and it's a long one.
 20 It's about a page and a half that you wrote?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q It talks about in the first -- very first paragraph it talks about something to do with AA programs and I have

- 1 | highlighted some stuff there. Can you read that please?
- 2 A It says, "In order for the AA program to work, we must have
- 3 spirituality. Spirituality to me is the faith and
- 4 acceptance of a power greater than ourselves".
- 5 Q Now I've never been to an AA meeting but I have heard the
- 6 phrase "one day at a time" mentioned. Where does that come
- 7 from, do you know?
- 8 A What does it mean?
- 9 Q Yeah? What's that do for you in terms of AA?
- 10 A Just means that sometimes it's just a minute at a time or
- an hour at a time or that you sometimes have to say that to
- yourself in order not to drink. If you look at it in a too
- broad of a span, then some people like myself end up
- drinking again, but if you can just look at it as a second
- at a time or, "Okay, right now I am not going to drink.
- When I walk out of this courtroom, I'm not going to drink."
- 17 That's one day at a time. That's what that means.
- 18 Q So is it fair to say that the focus on AA and in these
- programs you were in is like on the here and now?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q What do I need to do today not to drink?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And so is it fair to say in these various programs you have

been to and going to AA meetings you don't get in a whole

lot about all the underlying causes for the drinking, you

get into what do I need to do right now not to drink?

4 A That's correct.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q Is spirituality as you understand it having been through these programs, is that a big part of AA?
- 7 A Yes, it is. It's the most important part of the 12 steps.
 - Q And have you had difficulty accepting the spirituality part of AA as you try to make it through these programs over the years?
 - Yes. Because of, uhm, the way I thought of spirituality
 was my faith and my religion and because of what happened I
 no longer had that to fall back on and just couldn't come
 to accept spirituality because just too many things had
 happened to make me lose faith.
 - Q So the very thing that caused you to drink ultimately is the same thing that took AA and spirituality away from you?
 - A Correct.
- Defore I forget, Tom, Mr. Koch asked you to read a portion of again about a one-page document. It's on two pages.

 One page document. I think it said, "When I was growing up, I had one of the worst tempers. Took me many years to learn the kind of self-control that came to discussing

- problems or being confronted." Do you remember that?
- 2 A Yes.
- Q And that was on -- that was due August 22 of '89, one of your assignments at Tirrell House I quess?
- 5 A No, at the Farnum Center.
- 6 Q And on 8/22/89, take a look at that and didn't you write 7 something else?
- 8 A Yes, on August 22, 1989 I wrote that.
- 9 Q And can you tell us please what you wrote on that date?
- 10 A "I have the most problem with fear. I am one of those
 11 people who let fear rule their lives. I have a problem
 12 with making decisions and standing by them for fear of
- making the wrong choice. I am working on acceptance and
- self-discipline. I am trying to take a day at a time. I
- am working on making sound and responsible decisions."
- 16 Q On that same day or at least part of that assignment, again
- another line, there's more material here. But again I have
- highlighted a couple things. Do you recognize your having
- 19 written those?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And what did you say that are highlighted in yellow?
- 22 A "People who matter to me. Their opinions ruled my life.
- 23 For the longest time I have always been afraid of failure

1 and figure if someone else told me what to do, I could always have -- always have that excuse". 2 3 And then down at the bottom of the page? "My goal is to stay sober a day at a time and to learn what 4 5 steps I can take to help myself achieve these goals". 6 One day at a time again? 7 Yes. 8 THE COURT: Excuse me, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it's 9 4:00. Is there anyone that has a problem going until 4:30 10 (No response from the jury) 11 THE COURT: Counsel, why don't you approach. 12 (Discussion held off the record.) 13 THE COURT: I'll tell you, we're going to take the weekend and we'll see you at 9:00 on Monday. I want to thank you for 14 15 your service this week. It's a tough business for 16 everybody involved. And I want to remind you not to discuss this case with anyone. Be particularly careful to 17 stay away from any radio or television that might be about 18 this or anything in the newspaper or anything like that. 19 20 We appreciate your work. 21 Bailiff, why don't you take charge of the jury.

22

1	THE COURT: There is something I want to talk to you
2	about.
3	(Discussion held off the record.)
4	
5	(End of Day 5)
6	
7	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
8	
9	I, Lorena Werner Patria, Certified Court Reporter for the
10	State of New Hampshire and Official Court Reporter in the
11	above-captioned matter, do hereby certify and affirm that the
12	foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 140, represents a true and
13	accurate transcription, to the best of my skill and ability, of
14	my stenotype notes taken in the above-captioned matter.
15	3/22/95 Krena Werner Patrice
16	Date Lorena Werner Patria, CSR
17	Certificate #41
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	